arXiv:1203.6347v2 [math.FA] 2 Jan 2013

Quantization Rules, Hilbert Algebras and Coorbit Spaces for Families of Bounded Operators

M. Măntoiu

January 3, 2013

Abstract

The starting point is a continuous family of bounded operators in a Hilbert space, indexed by a locally compact space. A certain square integrability condition is required with respect to a given Radon measure. Then one constructs and studies a symbolic calculus, various Gelfand triples with nice algebraic properties as well as coorbit spaces of vectors and symbols. The aim is to unify within the coorbit theory recent global pseudodifferential theories going beyond the group theoretic point of view. [1]

1 Introduction

This article, as many others, is concerned with *symbolic calculus*, under certain circumstances also called *quantization*, seen as a systematic way to associate operators in some (infinite-dimensional) vector space with functions defined in a suitable related set Σ endowed with a topological structure and with a measure. We have in mind mainly operators acting in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, but other type of topological vector spaces will also be considered.

On the other hand, and this is the main novelty of the work, we are not going to assume that Σ is a locally compact group or that it has some smooth structure. Even if Σ happens to be a group, we are not trying to build the symbolic calculus on some group representation (usual or projective) of Σ by operators in $\mathcal{H}$. The main reason to undertake such a task is that certain generalized forms of global pseudodifferential calculi invented recently [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 35, 38, 39, 41, 43], even if using group theory, are more and more remote of of being just the integrated form of a given projective representation.

It is our intention to present a unified and systematic framework for such a general symbolic calculus and especially to show that it is a good background for introducing and studying coorbit spaces of vectors and symbols.

Among the different strategies to start and justify a symbolic calculus, there are two, dual to each other, that we are going to privilege. They appeared many times before, but we would like to make a systematic use, in a framework as general as possible.

The first one, inspired by Weyls quantization procedure, consists in associating to each point s of the space Σ a bounded linear operator $\pi(s)$ in $\mathcal{H}$. This mapping $s \mapsto \pi(s)$, while not supposed to be

---

[1] **2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46L65, 35S05, 46K15, 46H15

Key Words: symbolic calculus, coorbit space, Hilbert algebra, Gelfand triple, modulation space, pseudodifferential operator

unitarily valued or to possess group-like properties, would benefit from some regularity requirements. Boundedness and weak continuity are good properties, but a square integrability condition with respect to some measure μ on Σ (generalizing the notion of square integrable representation of a group) is the best starting point. Then operators $\Pi(f)$ are associated to suitable functions f on Σ by integration techniques, and square integrability plays an important role in identifying Hilbert-Schmidt operators as corresponding by quantization to L^2-functions. Even rather simple examples show that not all the elements in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$ need to be involved.

The dual approach is to give a priori the symbols (functions defined on Σ) of all the rank-one operators. Then the symbols of more general operators are obtained by superposition, modelled by integration on Σ, followed eventually by other extension techniques. If suitably implemented, the construction is essentially the inverse of the one described in the previous paragraph. But this is achieved only after the formalism is extended; the operators $\pi(s)$ are almost never rank-one (or at least Hilbert-Schmidt).

Many classes of operators form *-algebras under operator multiplication and taking adjoints. Clearly, it is desirable to use the quantization to induce isomorphic versions of these *-algebras on classes of symbols. As a matter of fact, due to the square integrability assumption, one gets compatible scalar products on the *-algebras making them Hilbert algebras. This already makes available extension techniques permitting the treatment of symbols not associated to Hilbert-Schmidt operators. But most of the known examples strongly suggest the existence of an extra mathematical structure, resulting in Gelfand triples both at the level of vectors and at the level of symbols, suitably interconnected. One could simply think at the role played in pseudodifferential theory by the Schwartz space and its dual, the space of tempered distributions. Another example, leading to Banach space Gelfand triples, is the Segal-type Feichtinger algebra, available on locally compact groups. In our general framework we are going to indicate a systematic way to construct Gelfand triples connected to the symbolic calculus associated to the family $\{\pi(s) \,|\, s \in \Sigma\}$; it will turn out to have a rich algebraic content. The emerging objects will also offer a convenient framework for the coorbit space theory developed in the second part of the paper.

Very roughly, in a *coorbit theory* one defines spaces endowed with algebraic or topological structure (mainly Banach spaces) by imposing conditions on suitable transformations applied to its elements. We do not feel competent to give an overview, a historical presentation or exhaustive references for this topic, also related to (or even including) wavelet theory, so the discussion will be restricted to a framework as close as possible to our setting.

The basic pattern and motivation for us was the fundamental article [17] of Feichtinger and Gröchenig, which constructed coorbit spaces starting with an integrable, irreducible strongly continuous unitary representation $\pi : G \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of a locally compact group G in a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. This article unified a lot of previous work on function spaces and had a great influence on the subsequent development of the field. Among many others, it contains as a particular case the theory of modulation spaces previously developed by Feichtinger [14, 15], in a classical function space context and also in connection to the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group. Among the many developments we cite [11, 18, 23, 24]. Recent contributions as [21, 44] (see also references therein) extend the theory of coorbit spaces much beyond group theory; they rely on frames $\{w(s) \,|\, s \in \Sigma\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ indexed by a locally compact space Σ endowed with a Radon measure with respect to which a square integrability condition is required. Our "coorbit spaces of vectors" are essentially covered as a rather particular case, setting $w(s) := \pi(s)^* w$ for some fixed element $w \in \mathcal{H}$. So our main contribution lies in another realm, involving "coorbit spaces of symbols".

More recent work ([8, 12, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 34, 33, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 57] and many others), triggered by contributions of Sjöstrand [46, 47], showed the great importance of modulation spaces in the theory of pseudodifferential operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ or on Abelian locally compact groups. On one hand, "modulation spaces of vectors" constitute convenient spaces on which pseudodifferential operators naturally apply, providing a rich setting going beyond the usual formalism involving typically Hilbert and Schwartz spaces. But, more importantly, a similar strategy leads to introducing "modulation spaces of symbols", spaces of functions or distributions defined in phase-space ($\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ would be the typical example), transformed by the pseudodifferential prescription into operators. These new spaces of symbols are often better-suited and simpler to use than the traditional (Hörmander-type) spaces used in pseudodifferential theory, bringing to the whole setting a harmonic analysis flavor.

As mentioned above, the Weyl calculus [20] has been extended to incorporate variable magnetic field on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and on nilpotent Lie groups. Corresponding more general modulation spaces of symbols has been introduced and examined in [5, 7, 39]. Trying to figure out a general setting, in [40] parts of a coorbit theory has been constructed for suitable abstract composition laws defined on the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, the treatment in [40] still relies on the simple choice $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and representations by operators in Hilbert or Fréchet spaces were not taken into accont; among others, the present article tries to remove these drawbacks.

For various reasons (space, time, ignorance) various closely connected topics has been left aside; this includes atomic decompositions, spectral invariance, compactness criteria, Schatten-class properties, non-square integrable families, Berezin-Toeplitz operators. Some of these will be treated in a subsequent work, as well as a series of examples complementing the rather brief Section 3.

We are going to indicate some notions, conventions and notations in a short section to which the reader could return when needed. Each of the other chapters starts with a brief presentation.

Conventions and notations

If Σ is a locally compact space (tacitly assumed to be Hausdorff), we set $BC(\Sigma)$ for the C^*-algebra of all bounded continuous complex-valued functions on Σ and $C_0(\Sigma)$ for the ideal composed of the continuous functions that decay at infinity. If there is a measure μ on Σ and $q \in [1,\infty]$, we denote by $\mathscr{L}^q(\Sigma;\mu)$ the usual Lebesgue space of order q on (Σ,μ).

For two Hausdorff locally convex spaces $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, we are going to write $\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{F}$ for the algebraic tensor product. When endowed with the projective topology, it will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}\otimes_p\mathcal{F}$ and its completion in this topology by $\mathcal{E}\widehat{\otimes}_p\mathcal{F}$. Analogously, $\mathcal{E}\widehat{\otimes}_i\mathcal{F}$ will be the completion of $\mathcal{E}\otimes_i\mathcal{F}$, which is $\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{F}$ endowed with the injective topology.

Under the same assumptions on $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, we denote by $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ the vector space of all linear *continuous* operators from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{F}$ and use the abbreviation $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}) := \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$. Then $\mathcal{E}' := \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E},\mathbb{C})$ is the (topological) dual of $\mathcal{E}$.

Since many of our objects will be Hilbert algebras, we give the abstract definition [10].

Definition 1.1. A Hilbert algebra *is a* **-algebra* $(\mathscr{A},\#,^{\#})$ *endowed with a scalar product* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{A}\to\mathbb{C}$ *such that*

1. *one has* $\langle g^{\#}, f^{\#}\rangle = \langle f, g\rangle$ *for all* $f,g\in\mathcal{A}$,
2. *one has* $\langle f\#g, h\rangle = \langle g, f^{\#}\#h\rangle$ *for all* $f,g,h\in\mathcal{A}$,
3. *for every* $g\in\mathscr{A}$, *the map* $\mathsf{L}_g:\mathscr{A}\to\mathscr{A}$, $\mathsf{L}_g(f) := g\#f$ *is continuous.*
4. $\mathscr{A}\#\mathscr{A}$ *is total in* $\mathscr{A}$.

A complete Hilbert algebra is called an H^*-algebra.

Clearly one also has $\langle f\#g, h\rangle = \langle f, h\#g^{\#}\rangle$ for all $f,g,h\in\mathscr{A}$ and the map $\mathsf{R}_g:\mathscr{A}\to\mathscr{A}$ given by $\mathsf{R}_g(f) := f\#g$ is also continuous; therefore $\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{A}\xrightarrow{\#}\mathscr{A}$ is separately continuous.

To give some basic examples, let us fix a separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$; by convention the scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is anti-linear in the second variable and we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ the conjugate space of $\mathcal{H}$. By Riesz Theorem, the dual $\mathcal{H}'$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is canonically antilinearly isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}$, so there is a linear isomorphism permitting the identification of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ with $\mathcal{H}'$. Recall that the space $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}$ forms a *-ideal in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and a Hilbert space with the scalar product $\langle S,T\rangle_{\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})} := \mathrm{Tr}\,(ST^*)$. Actually $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ is a H^*-algebra; the subspace $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ (coinciding with the *-ideal $\mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ of all trace-class operators) is dense in $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$.

Let us denote by Λ the canonical isomorphism between the Hilbert space tensor product $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ (the Hilbert completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{H}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{H}}$) and $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, sending $u\otimes v$ into the rank one operator $\Lambda(u\otimes v)\equiv\lambda_{u,v} := \langle\cdot,v\rangle u$. It can be seen as an isomorphism of H^*-algebras in an obvious way. Its restriction $\Lambda:\mathcal{H}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{H}}\to\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Hilbert algebra isomorphism between the algebraic tensor product and the finite rank operators. We record for further use some relations valid for $u,v,u',v'\in\mathcal{H}$ and $S\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$S\lambda_{u,v}=\lambda_{Su,v}\,,\quad \lambda_{u,v}S=\lambda_{u,S^*v}\,,\quad \lambda_{u,v}\,\lambda_{u',v'}=\langle u',v\rangle\,\lambda_{u,v'}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\lambda^*_{u,v}=\lambda_{v,u}\,,\quad \mathrm{Tr}\,(\lambda_{u,v})=\langle u,v\rangle\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Very often, besides the norm topology of a Hilbert algebra $\mathscr{A}$ (deduced from the scalar product), there is another finer locally convex topology. A convenient situation is described at point 2. of the following definition; point 1 is a standard concept.

Definition 1.2. *1.* A Fréchet *-algebra *is a *-algebra $(\mathscr{A},\#,^\#)$ with a Fréchet locally convex space topology $\mathscr{T}$ such that the involution $\mathscr{A}\ni f\to f^\#\in\mathscr{A}$ is continuous and the product $\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{A}\ni(f,g)\to f\#g\in\mathscr{A}$ is separately continuous.*

2. A Fréchet-Hilbert algebra $(\mathscr{A},\#,^\#,\mathscr{T},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ *is both a Fréchet *-algebra and a Hilbert algebra, the topology $\mathscr{T}$ being assumed finer that the norm topology associated to the scalar product.*

There will be plenty of examples in the main body of the text, but for insight just think of the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with its well-known Fréchet topology, the $\mathscr{L}^2$-scalar product and the involution $^\#$ given by complex conjugation (eventually composed with some symmetry of $\mathbb{R}^m$). The composition law $\#$ could be point-wise multiplication or convolution, or maybe the Weyl product or some twisted convolution, at least when $m=2n$.

2 A generalized symbolic calculus

The purpose of the fist chapter is the construction of the symbolic calculus associated to the data $(\Sigma,\mu,\pi,\mathcal{H},\mathcal{G})$. We denote by Σ a Hausdorff locally compact space endowed with a Radon measure μ. It serves as a family of indices for a set of bounded operators $\{\pi(s)\,|\,s\in\Sigma\}$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$; in a first stage the second space $\mathcal{G}$ plays no role. We do not assume that $\pi(s)$ is unitary and we do not ask anything about the product $\pi(s)\pi(t)$ for $s,t\in\Sigma$. The map $\pi(\cdot)$ is assumed bounded and weakly continuous, but this is not used for all the constructions and results. The main requirement is relation (2.2), a square integrable condition extending a wide-spread related concept from group representation theory [10].

The first purpose is to raise the family π, essentially by integration, to a correspondence $f\mapsto\Pi(f)$ sending a closed subspace of $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma;\mu)$ to the ideal of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Actually the fact that Π is "an integrated form" of π (in the spirit of group representation theory) is only seen a posteriori; the initial construction is just "an abstract nonsense" based on the tensor product point of view on Hilbert-Schmidt operators and the "representation coefficient" map Φ (that will play a distinguished role all over the article). The three linear maps Π,Φ and Λ (the third one defined above) are interconnected isomorphisms of H^*-algebras.

As a consequence of these isomorphisms, one also defines classes of trace-class, compact and bounded-type symbols forming Banach *-algebras; Radon measures on Σ can also be incorporated to the formalism.

The first two sections of this first chapter have a lot in common with the nice and interesting recent articles [2, 3]. While we do not assume group-like, Hilbert-Schmidt or unitary properties for the operators $\pi(s)$, our square-integrability assumption is too strong to allow the treatment of (projective) representations of *non-unimodular* locally compact groups.

To make further progress, slightly more should be added. We introduce an extra Fréchet space $\mathcal{G}$, continuously and densely embedded in $\mathcal{H}$. We do not ask it to be invariant under the operators $\pi(s)$, to be Banach (this would exclude the valuable Schwartz spaces) or nuclear (excluding Feichtinger algebras). Then duality and topological tensor product techniques start generating new spaces, organized in Gelfand triples [9, 16, 22], as well as isomorphisms extending or restricting the already existing mappings Π, Φ and Λ. Automatically these Gelfand triples have extra algebraic properties, compatible with the topologies, built on the ad hoc notion of Fréchet-Hilbert algebra indicated in Definition 1.2. The large spaces of the triples will constitute a background both for the symbolic calculus and for the coorbit constructions. The last section of the chapter is dedicated to an extension of the involution and of the symbol composition law to a large subspace of this background, called the Moyal algebra in analogy with the approach to the pseudodifferential theory in Weyl form of [31].

We warn the reader that in many cases the quantization one gets by applying the general strategy must still be composed with extra transformations (isomorphisms mostly) to arrive to the most popular form. If Σ is an Abelian locally compact group, for instance, with Haar measure μ, one composes the quantization Π with a Fourier transformation $\mathfrak{F}$, getting the new but related quantization $a \mapsto \mathfrak{Op}(a) := \Pi[\mathfrak{F}(a)]$. In some cases Σ is the product $\mathcal{X} \times \Omega$ between an Abelian locally compact group $\mathcal{X}$ and some other space Ω, so composing with a partial Fourier transform is also an option. Changes of variables in Σ can also be taken into account, eventually involving some ordering issue as in the traditional pseudodifferential theories (Kohn-Nirenberg, Weyl, etc). Then it is easy to understand why the involution $f \mapsto f^\star$, corresponding to the adjoint at the level of operators, has no reason in general to coincide with complex conjugation.

2.1 The H^*-algebra $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$

Let us fix a Hausdorff locally compact space Σ and a Radon measure μ on Σ with full support. The complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ will always be separable and infinite-dimensional. We assume that $\pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a bounded, weakly continuous map. Then one defines the sesquilinear mapping

$$\phi^\pi \equiv \phi : \mathcal{H} \times \overline{\mathcal{H}} \to BC(\Sigma), \qquad \phi_{u,v}(s) := \langle \pi(s)u, v \rangle \; . \tag{2.1}$$

It extends concepts as *representation coefficients*, *wavelet transform* or *Short Time Fourier Transform.*

Hypothesis 2.1. *The mapping* ϕ^π *extends to an isometry* $\Phi^\pi \equiv \Phi : \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma;\mu) \equiv \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$.

Remark 2.2. This hypothesis, tacitly assumed all over the article, is equivalent to requiring the relation

$$\int_\Sigma d\mu(s) |\, \langle \pi(s)u, v \rangle \,|^2 = \parallel u \parallel^2 \parallel v \parallel^2, \qquad \forall\, u, v \in \mathcal{H} \, . \tag{2.2}$$

This does not happen, for instance, if $\pi(s) = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all s. To achieve (2.2) with coefficient 1, a renormalization of the measure μ may be used if necessary. The family $\{\pi(s)u \mid s \in \Sigma\}$ will be a tight continuous frame for every $u \in \mathcal{H}$, with frame constant $C = \parallel u \parallel^2$.

We do not ask the totality of the family $\{\phi_{u,v} \equiv \Phi(u \otimes v) \,|\, s \in \Sigma\,\}$ in $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$. Consequently, there is a (maybe proper) closed subspace $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) := \Phi\left(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}\right)$ of $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ which is unitarily equivalent to

$\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and (hence) with $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. This space $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ is the closure in $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ of the subspace $\Phi(\mathcal{H}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{H}})$. Clearly, there exists a Hilbert space isomorphism $\Pi := \Lambda \circ \Phi^{-1} : \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ uniquely defined by

$$\Pi\left(\phi_{u,v}\right) = \lambda_{u,v} = \langle \cdot, v \rangle\, u\,, \qquad \forall\, u, v \in \mathcal{H} \tag{2.3}$$

and satisfying

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)\Pi(g)^*\right] = \langle f, g \rangle_{(\Sigma)} := \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\, \overline{g(s)}\,, \qquad \forall\, f, g \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Proposition 2.3. *For any $f \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ one has in weak sense*

$$\Pi(f) = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\, \pi(s)^*, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\Pi(f)^* = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) \overline{f(s)}\, \pi(s)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. If $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Pi(f) u, v \rangle &= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\lambda_{\Pi(f)u,v}\right] = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)\, \lambda_{u,v}\,\right] \\
&= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)\, \Pi\left(\phi_{v,u}\right)^*\,\right] = \langle f, \phi_{v,u} \rangle_{(\Sigma)} \\
&= \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\, \langle \pi(s)^* u, v \rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the second formula follows from the first one. □

By transport of structure one defines a composition law

$$\star : \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \times \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,, \qquad f \star g := \Pi^{-1}[\Pi(f)\Pi(g)] \tag{2.7}$$

and an involution

$$^\star : \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,, \qquad f^\star := \Pi^{-1}[\Pi(f)^*]\,. \tag{2.8}$$

So, by Definition 1.1, $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ *will be a H^*-algebra and* $\Pi : \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ *a H^*-algebra isomorphism.* Thus one has for all $f, g, h \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$

$$\langle f^\star, g^\star \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle g, f \rangle_{(\Sigma)} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\langle f \star g, h \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f, h \star g^\star \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle g, f^\star \star h \rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

The Hilbert subalgebra $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma) := \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \star \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ is dense in $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ and for any $g \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ the maps

$$\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \ni f \mapsto \mathsf{L}_g(f) := g \star f \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,, \qquad \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \ni f \mapsto \mathsf{R}_g(f) := f \star g \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \tag{2.11}$$

are continuous. Clearly L is a representation and R is an anti-representation. The (semi-finite) von Neumann algebras generated in $\mathbb{B}\left[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\right]$ by $\{\mathsf{L}_g \,|\, g \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\}$ and $\{\mathsf{R}_g \,|\, g \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\}$ will be denoted, respectively, by $\mathfrak{L}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\Sigma)$; each one is the commutant of the other [10]. We are also interested in the *-morphism $\{\mathsf{L}, \mathsf{R}\} : \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)} \to \mathbb{B}\left[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\right]$ given for $f, g, h \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ by

$$\left[\{\mathsf{L}, \mathsf{R}\}(f \otimes g)\right] h := f \star h \star g = \left(\mathsf{L}_f \circ \mathsf{R}_g\right) h = \left(\mathsf{R}_g \circ \mathsf{L}_f\right) h\,. \tag{2.12}$$

We notice for further use the relations

$$\langle \phi_{u_1,v_1}, \phi_{u_2,v_2} \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\phi_{u_1,v_1} \star \phi_{u_2,v_2} = \langle u_2, v_1 \rangle \phi_{u_1,v_2}, \qquad \phi^\star_{u,v} = \phi_{v,u}\,, \tag{2.14}$$

valid for every $u, u_1, u_2, v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, as well as

$$f \star \phi_{u,v} \star g = \phi_{\Pi(f)u, \Pi(g)^* v}\,, \qquad \forall\, f, g \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma),\ u, v \in \mathcal{H}\,. \tag{2.15}$$

In particular, if $\| u \| = 1$, then $\phi_{u,u}$ is a self-adjoint projection, represented by Π as the rank-one operator $\lambda_{u,u}$. Also notice that $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ and $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ are Banach *-algebras with the natural norms.

2.2 Extensions and the explicit form of the composition law

Now we extend the objects above. We define a new norm

$$\| \cdot \|_{\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)} : \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}_+\,, \qquad \| f \|_{\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)} := \| \Pi(f) \|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})}\,. \tag{2.16}$$

The completion of $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ under this norm is a C^*-algebra $\mathscr{B}_\infty(\Sigma)$ containing $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ as a dense *-ideal (this one also endowed with the stronger Hilbert topology). Clearly Π extends to a C^*-algebraic monomorphism $\Pi : \mathscr{B}_\infty(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with range $\Pi\,[\mathscr{B}_\infty(\Sigma)] = \mathbb{B}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, the ideal of all compact operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Then we denote by $\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$ the multiplier C^*-algebra [56] of $\mathscr{B}_\infty(\Sigma)$, which is isomorphic by a canonical extension of Π with $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which can be identified with the multiplier C^*-algebra of $\mathbb{B}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$. We keep the same notations $\star$ and * for the composition law and the involution on $\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$. Based on the constructions above, the elements of $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ ($\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$, $\mathscr{B}_\infty(\Sigma)$) will be called respectively *trace-class (Hilbert-Schmidt, compact) symbols*. For the elements of $\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$, to eliminate any possible confusion, we reserve the term *operator-bounded symbols*.

The spaces $\mathscr{B}_q(\Sigma)$, $q = 1, 2, \infty$ are still *-ideals in $\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$ and the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)}$ can be "extended" to sesquilinear forms

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\Sigma)} : \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma) \times \mathscr{B}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{C}\,, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\Sigma)} : \mathscr{B}(\Sigma) \times \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{C}\,.$$

For this one simply sets $\langle f, g \rangle_{(\Sigma)} := \mathrm{Tr}\,[\Pi(f)\Pi(g)^*]$ (definitions by approximation are also available). Note for $f \in \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ and $g \in \mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$ the inequality

$$|\, \langle f, g \rangle_{(\Sigma)} \,| \le \| f \|_{\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)} \, \| g \|_{\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)} \equiv \| \Pi(f) \|_{\mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H})} \, \| \Pi(g) \|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Due to the cyclicity of the trace, if $f, g, h \in \mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$ and one of them belongs to $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ (or two of them belong to $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$), one has

$$\langle f \star g, h \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f, h \star g^\star \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle g, f^\star \star h \rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Let us put $e_s := \Pi^{-1}\,[\pi(s)^*] \in \mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$ for every $s \in \Sigma$; thus $\phi_{u,v}(s) = \langle u, \Pi(e_s) v \rangle$ for all u, v and s and

$$\pi(s)^* = \Pi\,(e_s)\,, \qquad \pi(s) = \Pi\,(e_s^\star)\,. \tag{2.19}$$

Proposition 2.4. *For every $f \in \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ one has*

$$\langle f, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = f(s)\,, \quad \langle f, e_s^\star \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \overline{f^\star(s)}\,, \qquad \mu - a.e.\ s \in \Sigma\,. \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. By a direct computation using (2.3), (1.1) and (1.2) one gets for every $u, v \in \mathcal{H},\ s \in \Sigma$

$$\langle \phi_{u,v}, e_s \rangle_{\Sigma} = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi(\phi_{u,v})\pi(s)\right] = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\lambda_{u,v}\pi(s)\right] = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\lambda_{u,\pi(s)^* v}\right] = \phi_{u,v}(s)\,,$$

so the same is true for $\phi_{u,v}$ replaced by any element g of the subspace $\Phi(\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})$ which is dense in $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$.

Assume now that a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Phi(\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})$ converges to $f \in \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ with respect to the trace norm. Then for each $s \in \Sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} |\, \langle f, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)} - \langle g_n, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)} \,| &= |\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi(f - g_n)\pi(s)\right]| \\ &\leq \parallel \Pi(f - g_n) \parallel_{\mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H})} \parallel \pi(s) \parallel_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} \\ &= c(s) \parallel f - g_n \parallel_{\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since convergence in $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ implies convergence in $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ which, in its turn, implies μ-almost everywhere convergence of a subsequence, there is a μ-negligible set $M \subset \Sigma$ and a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $s \in \Sigma \setminus M$

$$f(s) = \lim_{k \to \infty} g_{n_k}(s) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle g_{n_k}, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,.$$

Then for s belonging to the same set $s \in \Sigma \setminus M$ one has

$$\langle f, e_s^{\star} \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle e_s, f^{\star} \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \overline{\langle f^{\star}, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)}} = \overline{f^{\star}(s)}\,.$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *For every $f, g \in \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ one has*

$$\int_{\Sigma} d\mu(s) \langle f, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)} \langle e_s, g \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. Follows immediately from the proposition. □

Now we can "compute" the symbol of a trace-class operator.

Corollary 2.6. *For $T \in \mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ one has*

$$\left[\Pi^{-1}(T)\right](s) = \mathrm{Tr}\left[T\pi(s)\right], \qquad \mu - a.e.\ s \in \Sigma\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof. Let us denote for the moment by $\Pi^{(-1)}$ the mapping defined at (2.22). It is enough to show that $\Pi^{(-1)}\left[\Pi(f)\right] = f$ hold μ-a.e. for every f belonging $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$.

But for μ-almost every $s \in \Sigma$, one has by (2.19) and (2.20)

$$\left(\Pi^{(-1)}\left[\Pi(f)\right]\right)(s) = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)\pi(s)\right] = \langle f, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = f(s)\,.$$

□

Corollary 2.7. *For each $S \in \mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and each $f \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ one has*

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\Pi(f)S] = \int_{\Sigma} d\mu(s) f(s)\, \mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(s)^* S\right], \tag{2.23}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\Pi(f)^* S] = \int_{\Sigma} d\mu(s) \overline{f(s)}\, \mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(s) S\right]. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. One uses the definitions, the fact that Π is unitary and formula (2.22):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}[\Pi(f)S] &= \left\langle \Pi(f), \Pi\left[\Pi^{-1}(S^*)\right]\right\rangle_{\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})} = \left\langle f, \Pi^{-1}(S^*)\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)} \\ &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\overline{\left[\Pi^{-1}(S^*)\right](s)} = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\overline{\mathrm{Tr}\left[S^*\pi(s)\right]} \\ &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(s)^* S\right].\end{aligned}$$

The relation (2.24) follows similarly. □

Corollary 2.7 reenforces Proposition 2.3, which is recovered by taking S to be a rank one operator.

Remark 2.8. One can also justify the relations

$$f = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\, e_s, \qquad f^\star = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\overline{f(s)}\, e_s^\star\,; \tag{2.25}$$

for example, if $g \in \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$, then

$$\int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\left\langle e_s, g\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\overline{g(s)} = \left\langle f, g\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)}.$$

In general $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ is not a reproducing kernel Hilbert space; in particular cases the symbols $\{e_s \mid s \in \Sigma\} \subset \mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$ rarely belong to $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$.

We give now explicit formulae for the algebraic structure

Theorem 2.9. *For any right approximate unit $\{S_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $\mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$.*

1. *If $f \in \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$, for μ-almost every $r \in \Sigma$ one has*

$$f^\star(r) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(r)\pi(s)S_n\right]\overline{f(s)}\,. \tag{2.26}$$

2. *If $f, g \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$, for μ-almost every $r \in \Sigma$ one has*

$$(f \star g)(r) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(s)^*\pi(t)^*\pi(r)S_n\right] f(s)g(t)\,. \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. Both computations rely on Corollaries 2.6 and 2.7. One has for μ-almost every $r \in \Sigma$

$$\begin{aligned}f^\star(r) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)^*\pi(r)\right] = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)^*\pi(r)S_n\right] \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(s)\pi(r)S_n\right]\overline{f(s)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(f \star g)(r) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)\Pi(g)\pi(r)\right] = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi(f)\Pi(g)\pi(r)S_n\right] \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(s)^*\Pi(g)\pi(r)S_n\right] \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Sigma d\mu(s) f(s)\int_\Sigma d\mu(t) g(t)\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi(s)^*\pi(t)^*\pi(r)S_n\right].\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.10. We also need the map

$$\Theta : \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}\left[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\right], \qquad \Theta(s,t)f := e_s^\star \star f \star e_t \,, \tag{2.28}$$

doomed to satisfy

$$\pi(s)\Pi(f)\pi(t)^* = \Pi\left[\Theta(s,t)f\right], \qquad \forall\, s,t \in \Sigma,\ f \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,. \tag{2.29}$$

Notice the relation

$$e_s^\star \star \phi_{u,v} \star e_t \equiv \Theta(s,t)\phi_{u,v} = \phi_{\pi(s)u,\pi(t)v}, \qquad \forall\, s,t \in \Sigma,\ u,v \in \mathcal{H}\,, \tag{2.30}$$

which implies that

$$\Theta(s,t) = \Phi \circ [\pi(s) \otimes \pi(t)] \circ \Phi^{-1}, \qquad \forall\, s,t \in \Sigma\,. \tag{2.31}$$

Remark 2.11. Let $\mathscr{R}(\Sigma)$ be the Banach space of all Radon bounded complex measures on Σ, seen alternatively both as functions on the Borel sets of Σ and as elements of the topological anti-dual of $C_0(\Sigma)$. Clearly every $\rho \in \mathscr{R}(\Sigma)$ extends to an anti-linear continuous functional $\rho : BC(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{C}$; we are going to use the notation $\langle\!\langle\, \rho, f \rangle\!\rangle = \int_\Sigma \overline{f}\, d\rho$ for this "duality" (linear in ρ and anti-linear in f). On $\mathscr{R}(\Sigma)$ the usual norm of an anti-dual coincides with the measure norm expressed as the total variation applied to the entire space Σ. Since for every $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ one has $\phi_{v,u} \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \cap BC(\Sigma)$, one can define $\Pi(\rho) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ in weak sense by

$$\langle \Pi(\rho)u, v\rangle := \int_\Sigma d\rho(s)\, \langle \pi(s)^* u, v\rangle = \left\langle\!\!\left\langle \rho, \overline{\langle \pi(\cdot)^* u, v\rangle} \right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle = \langle\!\langle\, \rho, \phi_{v,u} \rangle\!\rangle\,. \tag{2.32}$$

Now it is also obvious that e_t coincides with the Dirac measure concentrated in t and that if ρ has a density g with respect to the initial measure μ, then $\Pi(\rho) = \Pi(g)$. The estimate

$$\|\, \Pi(\rho) \,\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \|\, \rho \,\|_{\mathscr{R}(\Sigma)} \sup_{s\in\Sigma} \|\, \pi(s) \,\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})}$$

is easy and certifies that $\mathscr{L}^1(\Sigma,\mu) \subset \mathscr{R}(\Sigma) \subset \mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$.

Remark 2.12. For various reasons (computational, tradition, physical motivation, etc) one might choose another realization of the formalism. For example, let $\mathfrak{F} : \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{K}$ be a unitary mapping of $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ onto some Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}$. By composing with $\mathfrak{F}^{\pm 1}$ the important mappings introduced above and by obvious transport of structure, one gets an equivalent symbolic calculus for elements in $\mathscr{K}$. Relevant formulae are

$$\Psi := \mathfrak{F} \circ \Phi, \qquad \mathfrak{Op}^\pi := \Pi \circ \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \tag{2.33}$$

for the operators and

$$a\#b := \mathfrak{F}\left[\left(\mathfrak{F}^{-1}a\right) \star \left(\mathfrak{F}^{-1}b\right)\right], \qquad a^\# := \mathfrak{F}\left[\left(\mathfrak{F}^{-1}a\right)^\star\right] \tag{2.34}$$

for the algebraic structure. The elements $\{\mathfrak{e}_s := \mathfrak{F}\,(e_s) \mid s \in \Sigma\}$ play a distinguished role. All the auxiliary constructions can be pushed on through $\mathfrak{F}$.

In many situations there is enough structure to define a "dual phase space" $\widehat{\Sigma}$ such that $\mathscr{K} := \mathscr{L}^2(\widehat{\Sigma})$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ is some general form of Fourier transform, so that the symbols of the resulting calculus will be essentially functions on $\widehat{\Sigma}$. We refer to Section 3 for such examples.

2.3 Fréchet-Hilbert algebras and their Gelfand triples

In most of the applications there is some supplementary structure which can be used to enrich and enlarge the formalism. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Fréchet space continuously and densely embedded in $\mathcal{H}$; set $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ for the embedding. We are going to show that such an extra data generates many new useful objects, even if we do not require $\pi(s)\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}$ for $s \in \Sigma$. Assuming that $\mathcal{G}$ is nuclear would simplify the overall picture, but we are interested not to loose the case of Banach spaces.

Lemma 2.13. *The projective tensor product* $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ *is a Fréchet space continuously and densely embedded in the Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ *.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Fréchet space. It is enough to embed it continuously and densely into $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. The canonical mapping $\alpha\otimes\alpha : \mathcal{G}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is linear and continuous when on both tensor products we put the corresponding projective topologies [53, Prop.43.6], so it extends to a linear continuous map $\alpha\widehat{\otimes}_p\,\alpha : \mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. This map obviously has a dense range, and it is injective by [53, Ex.43.2]. By composing with the canonical injection $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{H}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, we get the linear continuous map $\mathfrak{a} : \mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ (injective and with dense image). ☐

By a slight abuse of notation, we are going to treat $\mathcal{G}$ as a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ as a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Let us set $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) := \Phi\left[\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}\right] \subset \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \subset \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 2.14. *With the algebraic and topological structure induced from* $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ *and with the scalar product* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{(\Sigma)}$*, the space* $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ *becomes a Fréchet-Hilbert algebra (cf. Definition 1.2) composed of trace-class symbols, continuously and densely embedded in* $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$*. Its dual* $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ *contains all the operator-bounded symbols. The restriction* $\Phi : \mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ *is an isomorphism of Fréchet-Hilbert algebras.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ is turned into a Fréchet space by transport of structure. It is densely contained in $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ because $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is densely contained in $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}$.

The basic complete tensor products in the case of Hilbert spaces are described in [53, Sect.48]. For instance, $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ can be identified to $\mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ while $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}_i\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{B}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$; we recall that the Hilbert space tensor product $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Taking into account the continuous embedding of $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ into $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, the assertions $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \subset \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$ and then $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)' \subset \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ become obvious. But the dual of $\mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which permits the identification of $\mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)'$ with $\mathscr{B}(\Sigma) \subset \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$.

Since $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ has been constructed by unitary transport of structure, it is enough to check that $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Hilbert algebra and a topological *-algebra. On $\mathcal{G}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ the algebraic structure is uniquely defined by $(u\otimes v)^* := v\otimes u$ and $(u\otimes v)\cdot(u'\otimes v') = \langle u',v\rangle\,(u\otimes v') \in \mathcal{G}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{G}}$, valid for $u,v,u',v' \in \mathcal{G}$. Then one could conclude by density if it is checked that the involution and the multiplication are continuous with respect to the projective topology. For the involution this is very easy; we are going to treat the multiplication.

Let $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ a directed family of seminorms defining the topology of $\mathcal{G}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is densely contained in $\mathcal{H}$, there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ and $C > 0$ such that $\|\,u\,\| \le C p_{\lambda_0}(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{G}$. The projective topology on $\mathcal{G}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined by the family of seminorms $\{p_{\lambda,\mu} \mid (\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda\times\Lambda\}$ given by

$$p_{\lambda,\mu}(\mathfrak{w}) := \inf\left\{\sum_l p_\lambda(w_l)p_\mu(w_l') \;\middle|\; \mathfrak{w} = \sum_l w_l\otimes w_l'\right\}; \tag{2.35}$$

all the sums should be finite. For any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ and any $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in \mathcal{G} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\lambda,\mu}(\mathfrak{u} \cdot \mathfrak{v}) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_l p_\lambda(w_l) p_\mu(w_l') \;\Big|\; \mathfrak{u} \cdot \mathfrak{v} = \sum_l w_l \otimes w_l' \right\} \\
&\leq \inf \left\{ \sum_{j,k} p_\lambda\left(\langle u_j', v_k \rangle \, u_j \right) p_\mu(v_k') \;\Big|\; \mathfrak{u} = \sum_j u_j \otimes u_j' \,,\; \mathfrak{v} = \sum_k v_k \otimes v_k' \right\} \\
&\leq \inf \left\{ \sum_{j,k} \parallel u_j' \parallel \parallel v_k \parallel p_\lambda(u_j) p_\mu(v_k') \;\Big|\; \mathfrak{u} = \sum_j u_j \otimes u_j' \,,\; \mathfrak{v} = \sum_k v_k \otimes v_k' \right\} \\
&\leq C^2 \inf \left\{ \sum_j p_\lambda(u_j) p_{\lambda_0}(u_j') \sum_k p_{\lambda_0}(v_k) p_\mu(v_k') \;\Big|\; \mathfrak{u} = \sum_j u_j \otimes u_j',\; \mathfrak{v} = \sum_k v_k \otimes v_k' \right\} \\
&= C^2 \inf \left\{ \sum_j p_\lambda(u_j) p_{\lambda_0}(u_j') \;\Big|\; \mathfrak{u} = \sum_j u_j \otimes u_j' \right\} \inf \left\{ \sum_k p_{\lambda_0}(v_k) p_\mu(v_k') \;\Big|\; \mathfrak{v} = \sum_k v_k \otimes v_k' \right\} \\
&= C^2 p_{\lambda,\lambda_0}(\mathfrak{u}) \, p_{\lambda_0,\mu}(\mathfrak{v}) \,,
\end{aligned}
$$

which justifies the continuity of the product. □

For further reference we indicate here the continuous embeddings

$$
\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_\infty(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma) \,. \tag{2.36}
$$

The first and the last one become isomorphisms iff $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}$. In most of the cases the operators $\pi(s) = \Pi(e_s)^*$ are unitary; in such cases e_s is not a compact symbol.

Remark 2.15. The direct construction of the Fréchet-Hilbert algebra $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ by transport of structure is convenient being universal, but the output is rather implicit (although, clearly, $\{\phi_{u,v} \mid u, v \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a total family in $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$; see [53, Th.45.1] for a stronger result). Fortunately, in most of the interesting examples, the space $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ has some independent definition as a space of functions (or distributions) on Σ.

Remark 2.16. We stress once again that the space $\mathcal{G}$ is not subject to any invariance property. However, if we require $\pi(s)\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}$ for every $s \in \mathcal{G}$, we get immediately $\Theta(s,t)\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \subset \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ for every $(s,t) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma$ from (2.31) and from the definition of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$.

Now we need some notions concerning duality of Fréchet spaces [53, Sect.19]. When on the (topological) dual $\mathcal{G}'$ we consider the weak*-topology, we write $\mathcal{G}'_\sigma$. Recall that in this topology the convergence is just pointwise convergence of functionals and that a base of neighborhoods of $0 \in \mathcal{G}'_\sigma$ is composed of the polars of all the finite subsets of $\mathcal{G}$. But we are also going to use the stronger topology γ of uniform convergence on convex compact subsets of $\mathcal{G}$, and then $\mathcal{G}'$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{G}'_\gamma$. One can take as a base of $0 \in \mathcal{G}'_\gamma$ the polars of the convex compact subsets of $\mathcal{G}$.

Using the transpose $\alpha' : \mathcal{H}' \to \mathcal{G}'$ (cf. [53, Sect.23]) and the Riesz antilinear identification of $\mathcal{H}$ with its strong dual $\mathcal{H}'$, one gets an injective continuous antilinear embedding of $\mathcal{H}$ into the dual $\mathcal{G}'$ (or, equivalently, a linear embedding of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ in $\mathcal{G}'$). We identify thus $\mathcal{H}$ with a subspace of $\mathcal{G}'$, which is dense if on $\mathcal{G}'$ one considers any of the topologies σ or γ. Hence we have *a Gelfand triple* (GT) $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}'_\nu)$ for any $\nu = \sigma, \gamma$. Since the duality between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ is compatible with the scalar product of $\mathcal{H}$, we are going to use for this duality notations as $\langle u, w \rangle := w(u)$, antilinear in $w \in \mathcal{G}'$ and linear in $u \in \mathcal{G}$.

Note that $\mathcal{G}$ can be seen both as the dual of its weak*-dual $\mathcal{G}'_\sigma$ and as the dual of $\mathcal{G}'_\gamma$, cf [53]. In general it does not coincide with the dual of $\mathcal{G}'_\beta$, involving the strong topology β of uniform convergence on the bounded subsets of $\mathcal{G}$. By a simple duality argument it follows that $\mathcal{H}$ (hence $\mathcal{G}$ also) will be dense in $\mathcal{G}'_\nu$ for $\nu=\sigma,\gamma$. This also happens for $\nu=\beta$ if $\mathcal{G}$ is assumed reflexive. If $\mathcal{G}$ happens to be a Banach space, we will speak about *a Banach-Gelfand triple* (BGT). In such a case, the strong topology β on $\mathcal{G}'$ coincide with the norm topology given by $\|\,w\,\|_{\mathcal{G}'}:=\sup\{\,|\,\langle u,w\rangle\,|\;\mid u\in\mathcal{G},\;\|\,u\,\|_{\mathcal{G}}\leq 1\}$.

Of course, the same construction can be applied to the continuous and dense embedding $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, getting an ampler GT $\big(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}},(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}})'_\nu\big)$; one uses the transpose $\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}}\overset{\mathfrak{a}'}{\hookrightarrow}(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}})'_\nu$ of the map $\mathfrak{a}$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.13. Here, once again, one can use any of the topologies $\nu=\sigma,\gamma,\beta$.

We recall now that we have an isomorphism of H^*-algebras $\Phi:\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}}\to\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ (in particular a unitary map) which restricts to an isomorphism of Fréchet-Hilbert algebras $\Phi:\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}\to\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$. The inverse of the transpose will be a continuous extension (denoted by abuse by the same letter)

$$\Phi:\big(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}\big)'_\nu\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu\,; \tag{2.37}$$

the topological dual of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ has been denoted by $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$.

We summarize the discussion above as a Corollary. By *isomorphism of Hilbert algebra Gelfand triples* we denote an isomorphism of Gelfand triples (unitary at the level of Hilbert spaces) for which the "small" spaces are Fréchet-Hilbert algebras, the Hilbert spaces are H^*-algebras and the isomorphism respects the *-algebras structures whenever this makes sense.

Corollary 2.17. *Assume that the Fréchet space $\mathcal{G}$ is continuously and densely embedded in $\mathcal{H}$. There is a canonical isomorphism of Hilbert algebra Gelfand triples*

$$\Phi^\pi\equiv\Phi:\big(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}},(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}})'_\nu\big)\to\big(\mathscr{G}(\Sigma),\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma),\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu\big)\;. \tag{2.38}$$

Notice that for $u,v\in\mathcal{G}'$ one has a well-defined element $\phi_{u,v}:=\Phi(u\otimes v)\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. It is an easy task to state and prove suitable extensions of the relations (2.13) and (2.14).

Remark 2.18. One has a canonical isomorphisms $\big(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}\big)'\sim\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}'_\sigma)$. It is purely algebraical and it involves the space of all the linear operators $A:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}'$ which are continuous when on $\mathcal{G}'$ we put the weak*-topology. See [53, pag.465] for more details. Using this, it is easy to deduce from the considerations above that $\Pi=\Lambda\circ\Phi^{-1}:\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\to\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ extends to a linear isomorphism $\Pi:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}'_\sigma)$. Thus *the elements of $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ can be seen as symbols of linear operators $T:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}'$ that are continuous with respect to the weak*-topology on the dual.* The relation

$$\langle\Pi(g)u,v\rangle=\int_\Sigma d\mu(t)g(t)\,\langle\pi(t)^*u,v\rangle=\langle g,\phi_{v,u}\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,, \tag{2.39}$$

valid a priori for $g\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ and $u,v\in\mathcal{H}$, stands also true for $g\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ and $u,v\in\mathcal{G}$ with the obvious reinterpretation of the duality $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{(\Sigma)}$.

Remark 2.19. Some extra structure is present if, in addition, $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies *the approximation property.* It would be too involved to discuss this property here; we just notice that the large majority of the Fréchet spaces possesses it and send to [36, Sect.18] for a thorough discussion. For us, it serves to identify the injective tensor product $\mathcal{G}'\widehat{\otimes}_i\overline{\mathcal{G}}'$ with another topological tensor product $\mathcal{G}'\varepsilon\overline{\mathcal{G}}'$ and thus to simplify the picture. Anyhow, under this extra assumption, one has an extra canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}'_\sigma)\sim\big(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}\big)'_\gamma\cong\mathcal{G}'_\gamma\widehat{\otimes}_i\overline{\mathcal{G}}'_\gamma. \tag{2.40}$$

The second one [36, p.346] is an isomorphism of locally convex spaces and it involves the topology of uniform convergence on compact convex sets on the various dual spaces. By general principles, it justifies the Hilbert algebra Gelfand triple $(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{G}'_\gamma\widehat{\otimes}_i\overline{\mathcal{G}}'_\gamma)$.

2.4 Moyal algebras

We work now on the extension of the algebraic structure.

On the dual $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ one can consider various locally convex topologies $\mathcal{T}_\nu$ which are stronger than the weak*-topology $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ but weaker than the strong topology $\mathcal{T}_\beta$. Such a topology $\mathcal{T}_\nu$ is called *admissible* if it has as a basis of neighborhoods of the origin the polars of a family $\mathcal{S}_\nu$ of bounded subsets of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ satisfying the following:

1. if $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$ such that $A \cup B \subset C$,
2. if $A \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then $\alpha A \subset B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$,
3. if $A \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$ and $f \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ then $f \star A \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, $A \star f \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$ and $A^\star \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$.

Let us write $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$ for the dual $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ when considered with the topology $\mathcal{T}_\nu$. A net $\{F_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ converges to 0 in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$ iff $F_\lambda(g)$ converges to 0 uniformly in $g \in A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$. Both the weak*-topology $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ and the strong topology $\mathcal{T}_\beta$ are admissible topologies; for this one takes $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$ the family of all finite subsets of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{S}_\beta$ the family of all bounded subsets of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$. Another example is the family $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ of all compact convex subsets of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$, leading to the topology $\mathcal{T}_\gamma$ of convergence which is uniform on compact sets. By [53, Th. 33.1], the bounded subsets of $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$ are same for all ν (and are exactly the equicontinuous subsets). The strong dual $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\beta$ of any Fréchet space is complete.

Theorem 2.20. *1. The composition law $\star$ extends to bilinear separately continuous mappings*

$$\star : \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu \times \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \star : \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \times \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu \, . \tag{2.41}$$

2. For $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$, $g, h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ one has

$$f \star (g \star h) = (f \star g) \star h \, , \quad h \star (g \star f) = (h \star g) \star f \, , \quad (g \star f) \star h = g \star (f \star h) \, . \tag{2.42}$$

3. The involution * extends to a topological anti-linear isomorphism $^\star : \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$, such that for every $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ and $g \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ one has

$$(f \star g)^\star = g^\star \star f^\star, \quad (g \star f)^\star = f^\star \star g^\star \, . \tag{2.43}$$

Proof. 1. We justify only the first extension; the second one follows in the same way.

For $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ and $g, h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ one sets

$$\langle f \star g, h \rangle_{(\Sigma)} := \langle f, h \star g^\star \rangle_{(\Sigma)} \, . \tag{2.44}$$

Clearly this defines an element $f \star g$ of $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$, which coincides with the one given by the initial Hilbert algebra structure of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ if $f \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$.

We still have to show separate continuity. First fix $g \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ and pick a net $\{f_\mu\}_{\mu\in M}$ converging to 0 in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$. This means that for every $B \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$ one has $\langle f_\mu, k \rangle \to 0$ uniformly in $k \in B$. Fixing $g \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, one has $h \in A$ if and only if $h \star g^\star \in A \star g^\star \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, hence

$$\langle f_\mu \star g, h \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f_\mu, h \star g^\star \rangle_{(\Sigma)} \to 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } h \in A \, , \tag{2.45}$$

thus $f_\mu \star g \to 0$ in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$. Now fix $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ and assume that $g_\mu \to 0$ in $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$. By Theorem 41.2 in [53], separate continuity of the map $\star$ implies hypocontinuity. This means that for any bounded subset B of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ the families of linear maps

$$\{\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \in g \mapsto h \star g \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \mid h \in B\} \tag{2.46}$$

and

$$\{\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \in g \mapsto g \star h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \mid h \in B\} \tag{2.47}$$

are equicontinuous. It follows that

$$h \star g_\mu^\star \to 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } h \in B\,. \tag{2.48}$$

Then

$$\langle f \star g_\mu, h\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f, h \star g_\mu^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)} \to 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } h \in B\,, \tag{2.49}$$

thus $f \star g_\mu \to 0$ in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$ and we are done.

2. Recall that $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ is dense in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\sigma$. Than the associativity properties follow easily by approximation from the associativity of the composition law $\star$ in $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$.

3. If $f \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)_\nu$ one sets $\langle f^\star, h\rangle_{(\Sigma)} := \overline{\langle f, h^\star\rangle}_{(\Sigma)}$ for any $h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$. One gets a topological isomorphism for any ν because the family $\mathcal{S}_\nu$ was supposed stable under involution. For $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ and $g, h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ we compute using the definition of the involution and the axioms of a Hilbert algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (f \star g)^\star, h\rangle_{(\Sigma)} &= \overline{\langle f \star g, h^\star\rangle}_{(\Sigma)} = \overline{\langle f, h^\star \star g^\star\rangle}_{(\Sigma)} \\ &= \langle f^\star, (h^\star \star g^\star)^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f^\star, g \star h\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle g^\star \star f^\star, h\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,,\end{aligned}$$

so the first equality in (iii) is proven. The second follows similarly. □

Definition 2.21. *We introduce the following subspace*

$$\mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma) := \{f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma) \mid f \star \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \subset \mathscr{G}(\Sigma),\ \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \star f \subset \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\}$$

and call it the Moyal algebra associated to $\star$*.*

Obviously $\mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma)$ is invariant under the involution $f \mapsto f^\star$. We extend the composition

$$\star : \mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma) \times \mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma) \tag{2.50}$$

by setting

$$\langle f \star g, h\rangle_{(\Sigma)} := \langle f, g \star h\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,, \qquad \forall\, f, g \in \mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma),\ h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\,. \tag{2.51}$$

To justify this extension, notice first that if $g \in \mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma)$, then the mapping

$$\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \ni h \mapsto \mathsf{L}_g(h) = g \star h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \tag{2.52}$$

is well defined, linear and continuous. Actually it is continuous when regarded with values in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$, by Proposition 2.20, and then we use the Closed Graph Theorem to get the improved continuity. It follows (even for $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$) that the formula (2.51) defines an element $f \star g$ of $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. To prove that in fact it belongs to the Moyal algebra, one must show that

$$(f \star g) \star k \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad k \star (f \star g) \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\,. \tag{2.53}$$

For the first one, for instance, one infers by approximation from the previously results that $(f \star g) \star k = f \star (g \star k)$ and then apply the definition of $\mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma)$.

Without making all the routine verification, we just state that $(\mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma), \star, {}^\star)$ *is a* **-algebra in which* $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ *is a self-adjoint two-sided ideal.* More details about Moyal algebras associated to abstract composition laws, their topologies and their connections with algebraic representations in locally convex spaces will be given in a subsequent publication.

3 Some examples

It is quite clear that the formalism of the previous section is ment to cover at least two situations: square integrable irreducible unitary group representations with their associated twisted convolution algebras [1, 2, 3, 13] and the Weyl pseudodifferential calculus [20, 24, 31]. We are going to indicate briefly other examples, in order to show the generality of our setting. They are developped just to the extent when the identification of the relevant objects becomes obvious, but we hope to give more details and applications in some future publication. The references cited in this section contain much more than we are able to review here.

3.1 The magnetic Weyl calculus

One takes $\Sigma := \mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{X}^*$, where $\mathscr{X}$ is a n-dimensional real vector space and $\mathscr{X}^*$ is its dual (so the "phase-space" Σ is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$). Bellow, setting $B = 0$ and $A = 0$, one would recover the standard Weyl calculus [20, 24, 31].

The magnetic Weyl calculus [35, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42] has as a background the problem of quantization of a physical system consisting in a spin-less particle moving in the euclidean space $\mathscr{X} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ under the influence of a magnetic field, i.e. a closed 2-form B on $\mathscr{X}$ $(dB = 0)$, given in a base by matrix-component functions

$$B_{jk} = -B_{kj} : \mathscr{X} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

For simplicity and in order to have a full formalism we are going to assume that the components B_{jk} belong to $C^\infty_{\rm pol}(\mathscr{X})$, the class of smooth functions on $\mathscr{X}$ with polynomial bounds on all the derivatives. The magnetic field can be written in many ways as the differential $B = dA$ of some 1-form A on $\mathscr{X}$ called *vector potential*. One has $B = dA = dA'$ iff $A' = A + d\varphi$ for some 0-form φ (then they ar called equivalent). It is easy to see that vector potential can also be chosen of class $C^\infty_{\rm pol}(\mathscr{X})$.

One would like to develop a symbolic calculus taking the magnetic field into account. Basic requirements are: (i) it should reduce to the standard Weyl calculus for $A = 0$ and (ii) the operators $\Pi^A(f)$ and $\Pi^{A'}(f)$ should be unitarily equivalent (independently on the symbol f) if A and A' are equivalent; this is called *gauge covariance* and has a fundamental physical meaning. There are many ways to justify the formulae, including geometrical or classical mechanics reasons or ideas coming from group cohomology and the theory of crossed product C^*-algebras. The one closest to our approach it to think of the emerging symbolic calculus as a functional calculus for the family of non-commuting self-adjoint operators $(Q_1, \dots, Q_n; P^A_1, \dots, P^A_n)$ in $\mathcal{H} := \mathscr{L}^2(\mathscr{X})$. Here Q_j is one of the components of the position operator, but the momentum $P_j := -i\partial_j$ is replaced by *the magnetic momentum* $P^A_j := P_j - A_j(Q)$ where $A_j(Q)$ indicates the operator of multiplication with the function $A_j \in C^\infty_{\rm pol}(\mathscr{X})$. Notice the commutation relations

$$i[Q_j, Q_k] = 0, \quad i[P^A_j, Q_k] = \delta_{j,k}, \quad i[P^A_j, P^A_k] = B_{jk}(Q). \tag{3.1}$$

Now one computes *the magnetic Weyl system*

$$\pi^A : \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \pi^A(x, \xi) := \exp\left[i\left(x \cdot P^A - Q \cdot \xi\right)\right] \tag{3.2}$$

and gets explicitly

$$\left[\pi^A(x, \xi)u\right](y) = e^{-i\left(y + \frac{x}{2}\right)\cdot\xi} \exp\left[(-i) \int\limits_{[y, y+x]} A\right] u(y + x). \tag{3.3}$$

The extra phase factor involves the circulation of the 1-form A through the segment $[x, y] := \{(1 - t)x + ty \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$. These operators depend strongly continuous of (x, ξ) and satisfy $\pi^A(0, 0) = 1$

and $\pi^A(x,\xi)^* = \pi(x,\xi)^{-1} = \pi^A(-x,-\xi)$ (thus being unitary). *However they do not form a projective representation of* $\Sigma = \mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}^*$*.* Actually they satisfy

$$\pi^A(x,\xi)\,\pi^A(y,\eta) = \Omega^B[(x,\xi),(y,\eta);Q]\,\pi^A(x+y,\xi+\eta)\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\Omega^B[(x,\xi),(y,\eta);Q]$ only depends on the 2-form B and denotes the operator of multiplication in $\mathscr{L}^2(\mathcal{X})$ by the function

$$\mathcal{X}\ni z \to \Omega^B[(x,\xi),(y,\eta);z] := \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\,(y\cdot\xi - x\cdot\eta)\right]\exp\left[(-i)\int\limits_{<z,z+x,z+x+y>} B\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

Here the distinguished factor is constructed with the flux (invariant integration) of the magnetic field through the triangle defined by the corners z, $z+x$ and $z+x+y$.

A straightforward computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\Phi^A(u\otimes v)\right](x,\xi) &:= \left\langle \pi^A(x,\xi)u, v\right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} dy\, e^{-iy\cdot\xi}\,\exp\left[(-i)\int\limits_{[y-x/2,y+x/2]} A\right] u(y+x/2)\,\overline{v(y-x/2)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

It can be decomposed into the product of the multiplication by a function with values in the unit circle, a change of variables with unit jacobian and a partial Fourier transform. All these are isomorphisms between the corresponding spaces, so the orthogonality relation holds with $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) = \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$.

Thus one can apply all the prescriptions and get the correspondence $f\mapsto \Pi^A(f)$ and the composition law $(f,g)\to f\star^B g$ (depending only on the magnetic field). In fact people are interested in the (symplectic) Fourier transformed version $a\left(Q,P^A\right)\equiv \mathfrak{Op}^A(a) := \Pi^A[\mathfrak{F}^{-1}(f)]$ and in the multiplication $\#^B$ obtained by transport of structure and therefore satisfying $\mathfrak{Op}^A(a)\mathfrak{Op}^A(b) = \mathfrak{Op}^A(a\#^B b)$. The resulting involution is just complex conjugation, thus $\mathfrak{Op}^A(a)^* = \mathfrak{Op}^A(\overline{a})$. For the convenience of the reader we indicate the explicit formulae, in which we set $\Gamma^A([x,y]) := \int_{[x,y]} A$ and $\Gamma^B(<x,y,z>) := \int_{<x,y,z>} B$. The *magnetic Moyal product* is

$$\begin{aligned}\left(a\#^B b\right)(X) = \pi^{-2n}\int_\Sigma dY\int_\Sigma dZ\,\exp\left\{-2i\left[(x-z)\cdot(\xi-\eta)-(x-y)\cdot(\xi-\zeta)\right]\right\}\times \\ \times\exp\left[-i\Gamma^B(<x-y+z,y-z+x,z-x+y>)\right]f(Y)g(Z)\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and *the magnetic Weyl calculus* is given by

$$\left[\mathfrak{Op}^A(a)u\right](x) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathcal{X}}\int_{\mathcal{X}'} dy\,d\xi\,\exp\left[i(x-y)\cdot\xi\right]\exp\left[-i\Gamma^A([x,y])\right]a\left(\frac{x+y}{2},\xi\right)u(y)\,. \tag{3.7}$$

An important property of (3.7) is *gauge covariance*, as hinted above: if $A' = A + d\rho$ defines the same magnetic field as A, then $\mathfrak{Op}^{A'}(f) = e^{i\rho}\,\mathfrak{Op}^A(f)\,e^{-i\rho}$. By killing the magnetic phase factors in all the formulae above one gets the defining relations of the usual Weyl calculus.

A convenient choice of auxiliary space in this case is the Schwartz space $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$ which is a nuclear Fréchet space continuously and densely embedded in $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$; thus $\mathcal{G}'$ will be the space of tempered distributions. By a simple examination of the map Φ^A, this leads to $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}^*)$. It can easily be shown that (by suitable restriction or extensions) $\mathfrak{Op}^A[\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}^*)] = \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X}),\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})]$ and $\mathfrak{Op}^A[\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}^*)] = \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}),\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X})]$. The Moyal algebras for the magnetic Weyl calculus are studied in detail in [38], while in [35] the full pseudodifferential theory is exposed. Non-symmetric τ-quantizations are also available and they also fit the setting of Section 2.

3.2 Pseudodifferential operators on Abelian locally compact groups

We mention now the situation treated in [30], see also [19, 23], in which the peudodifferential calculus (in its standard form due to Kohn and Nirenberg) is generalized to metrizable, second countable locally compact Abelian groups (LCAG in short), using a "Harmonic Analysis approach" instead of the hard analysis treatment which would not be available in such a generality.

The starting point is a LCAG denoted by G, serving of "configuration space"; important simple examples are $G = \mathbb{R}^d$ or $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ but p-adic groups $\mathbb{Q}_p$ are also within reach and interesting for quantization and pseudodifferential theory [32, 45, 55]. A general version of a "phase space" (or Time-Frequency plane) is $\Sigma := G \times \widehat{G}$, where $\widehat{G}$ is the Pontriagin dual of G. On Σ, which is again a LCAG, we consider a Haar measure μ. It is obvious that by the Pontriagin duality theorem the dual $\widehat{\Sigma}$ can be identified to Σ. One uses notations as $s = (x, \xi)$, $t = (y, \eta)$ for elements of Σ, with $x, y \in G$ and $\xi, \eta \in \widehat{G}$. The group duality is denoted by $< \cdot, \cdot >$.

We define the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := \mathscr{L}^2(G)$, with unitary group $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and the mapping

$$\pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}), \qquad [\pi(x, \xi)u]\,(z) := < \xi, z > \; u(z - x)\,. \tag{3.8}$$

A simple calculation shows that π is a (continuous unitary) projective representation with 2-cocycle

$$\kappa : \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}, \qquad \kappa((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) := \overline{< \eta, x >}\,. \tag{3.9}$$

The orthogonality conditions also hold, so we are in the required setting. But a lot of extra structure is present due to the existence of a Fourier transform and to the structure theorem for LCAG. In particular, besides choosing for the ingredient $\mathcal{G}$ the Bruhat-Schwartz space, there also exists a better choice relying on writting G as $\mathbb{R}^m \times G_0$ with G_0 containing an open compact subgroup. We do not review the theory, for which we refer to [30] which also contains a lot of constructions and results involving a certain class of coorbit spaces, discretization techniques and Gabor frames. If $G = \mathbb{R}^m$ (i.e. G_0 is trivial) the emerging formalism boils down essentially to the Kohn-Nirenberg pseudodifferential calculus [20].

3.3 Unitary representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups

Relevent references are [43, 4, 5, 6, 7], to wich we send for a full presentation.

The starting point is an unitary strongly continuous representation $\varpi : M \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$, where M is a locally convex (maybe infinite dimensional) Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ and smooth exponential $\exp_M : \mathfrak{m} \to M$. On the dual $\mathfrak{m}'$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ we consider the weak topology.

We also fix a real finite dimensional vector space Σ with dual Σ' and a linear map $\theta : \Sigma \to \mathfrak{m}$. The basic idea is to use $\pi := \varpi \circ \exp_M \circ\, \theta : \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ as well as the Fourier transform $\widehat{\cdot} : \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma')$ in order to build a very general form of the Weyl calculus. So, at least formally, one should set

$$\mathfrak{Op}^{\varpi, \theta}(a) \equiv \Pi\,(\widehat{a}) := \int_\Sigma ds\, \widehat{a}(s)\, \varpi\left[\exp_M(\theta(s))\right]. \tag{3.10}$$

The outcome was called in [7] *the localized Weyl calculus associated to* ϖ *localized along* θ. The single requirement needed to develop the basic part of the theory is orthogonality, i.e.

$$\int_\Sigma ds\, |\langle \varpi\left[\exp_M(\theta(s))\right] u, v\rangle|^2 = \|\, u\,\|^2\, \|\, v\,\|^2, \qquad \forall\, u, v \in \mathcal{H}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Under this requirement, the general theory gives a definite sense to (3.10) at least for $\widehat{a} \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ and the constructions and results of sections 2.1 and 2.2 are valid. A good choice for the auxiliary space $\mathcal{G}$ is the space of smooth vectors of the representation ϖ:

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{H}_\infty := \{u \in \mathcal{H} \mid M \ni m \mapsto \varpi(m)u \in \mathcal{H} \text{ is } C^\infty\}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

It carries a natural Fréchet topology [7, Remark 2.1] in terms of "differential operators" indexed by the universal associative enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. In the infinite dimensional case the denseness of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is not always verified so we must require it explicitly. But as soon as this is achieved, all the results of the present article hold. In [7] extra regularity assumptions are imposed in order to have good control upon the spaces involved. One of the aims is to identify $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ with the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathfrak{Op}^{\varpi,\theta}\left[\widehat{\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)}\right] = \Pi\left[\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\right]$ with the Fréchet space $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ of all the smooth vectors (operators) under the continuous unitary representation

$$\varpi^{(2)} : M \times M \to \mathbb{B}\left[\mathbb{B}_2(\mathcal{H})\right], \qquad \left[\varpi^{(2)}(m,n)\right] T := \varpi(m) T \varpi(n)^{-1}. \tag{3.13}$$

Another one is to determine when $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) = \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ happens.

The very particular case in which M is a finite dimensional connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group leads to what has been named *the Weyl-Pedersen calculus* [43], which is still highly non-trivial and for which the regularity assumptions are shown to hold. But controllable, more general situations are considered in [4, 6, 7], in which M can be written as the semidirect product $\mathcal{F} \ltimes G$ between a (finite dimensional) connected nilpotent Lie group G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and a suitable (typically infinite dimensional) locally convex space $\mathcal{F}$ of smooth functions on G. An important particular case comes from the presence of a smooth magnetic field on G. The appearance of the finite dimensional vector space Σ is connected to the coadjoint orbit associated to the representation ϖ and the linear mapping θ is assigned canonically to a vector potential generating the magnetic field. Actually, $\Sigma = \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}'$ is one of the possible realizations of the coadjoint orbit. The outcome is an extension to nilpotent Lie groups of the magnetic Weyl calculus shortly exposed in section 3.3, which can be reobtained by taking $G = \mathbb{R}^n$.

4 The coorbit formalism

We are going now to introduce *coorbit spaces of vectors* (included in $\mathcal{G}'$) and *coorbit spaces of symbols* (included in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$). For the first, in accord with the existing theory [17, 21], it is clear that we must use an inducing procedure by $\phi_w : \mathcal{G}' \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$, where $\phi_w(u) := \phi_{u,w} = \Phi(u \otimes v)$ and the fixed "window" w could be taken in $\mathcal{G}$ for convenience. So, for a Banach space $\mathcal{M}$ continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$, one gets a Banach space $\mathsf{co}_w(\mathcal{M}) := \phi_w^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$ continuously embedded in $\mathcal{G}'$. This goes along the lines of [17] and the setting is more particular than that of [21, 44], so there is nothing to claim and in this article we are very brief about the spaces $\mathsf{co}_w(\mathcal{M})$.

For symbols, one must first construct the analogous mappings $\Upsilon_h : \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ indexed by "symbol windows" h, profitably chosen in $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$; we denoted by $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ the topolgical dual of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}_p \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$. But actually, analogous to Φ, there is a naturally defined isomorphism (the canonical mapping) $\Upsilon : \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ generating the family $\{\Upsilon_h \mid h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma\})$ by *localization*, using the tensor product structure: one sets $\Upsilon_h(f) := \Upsilon(f \otimes h)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ and this also makes sense for $h \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. (See [40] for a related construction in a more restricted framework.)

In fact, as shown in Corollary 4.7, Υ can be seen as a Hilbert algebra Gelfand triple isomorphism and this continues smoothly the theory of section 2.3. We arrange things so that the algebraic structure on the second Hilbert algebra Gelfand triple be independent on our data π; it just involves composition of integral kernels. For suitable windows h, this facilitates studying the algebraic properties of various coorbit spaces obtained by inducing through Υ_h. We think that preservation of the algebraic properties is one of the main merits of the present coorbit construction.

Once the basic properties of the canonical mapping Υ are obtained, a reconstruction formula is available and the typical ritual of a coorbit theory is released. The procedure generates Banach spaces $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ of "functions" on Σ from Banach spaces $\mathscr{M}$ of "kernels" on $\Sigma \times \Sigma$. Under some admissibility conditions one gets standard information about denseness, duality, interpolation, independence of the window.

The two types of coorbit spaces are related because the two mappings Φ and Υ are intimately connected, as indicated in Propositions 4.5 and 4.22. The main consequence is Corollary 4.23: for Banach spaces $\mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ (and for suitably tuned windows), the symbols $f \in \mathsf{CO}(\mathscr{M})$ give raise to bounded operators $\Pi(f) : \mathsf{co}_w(\mathcal{M}_1) \to \mathsf{co}_w(\mathcal{M}_2)$ if the kernels $F \in \mathscr{M}$ give raise to bounded integral operators $\mathfrak{Int}(F) : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$. This second assertion is universal, it has nothing to do with the data π and the symbolic calculus Π and can be checked independently. Thus one gets efficient boundedness criteria for "the pseudodifferential operators" $\Pi(f)$ in terms of coorbit spaces of vectors and symbols.

We end the chapter with a construction concerning "reservoirs". In most of the applications there are natural notions of test vectors or functions and the dual objects, forming spaces of distributions, are large enough to contain the Banach spaces one might want to study. This is essentially due to the fact that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is very often a space $\mathscr{L}^2(\mathcal{X})$ of functions on some "configuration space" $\mathcal{X}$. But it is a matter of fact that, under some mild assumptions, a rather general construction of window spaces of vectors $\mathcal{G}$ is available; then the formalism will supply automatically the other relevant spaces as $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ or $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$. We follow the treatment in [21], building on the approach of [17].

4.1 The canonical mapping on symbols

Definition 4.1. *We set* $\Upsilon : \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \to \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \cong \mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ *by*

$$[\Upsilon(f \otimes h)](s,t) := \langle f \star e_t \star h, e_s \rangle_{(\Sigma)} = (f \star e_t \star h)(s)\,. \tag{4.1}$$

and call it the canonical map. *It depends on* $\star$ *, so implicitly on* π *.*

Remark 4.2. The second equality in (4.1), valid μ-almost everywhere, follows from Proposition 2.4 since $f \star e_t \star h \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \star \mathscr{B}(\Sigma) \star \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) = \mathscr{B}_1(\Sigma)$. Using Remark 2.10 and the cyclicity relation (2.18), one can also write

$$[\Upsilon(f \otimes h)](s,t) := \langle \Theta(s,t)f, h^\star \rangle_{(\Sigma)}\ . \tag{4.2}$$

The full justification of Definition 4.1 will be given in Theorem 4.4.

Remark 4.3. It is easy to check that (4.1) is equivalent to the weak definition

$$\langle \Upsilon(f \otimes h), g \otimes k \rangle_{(\Sigma\times\Sigma)} := \big\langle f \star \overline{k} \star h, g \big\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \big\langle f \star \overline{k}, g \star h^\star \big\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,, \qquad \forall\, f, g, h, k \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,. \tag{4.3}$$

This offers a good starting point for extensions to larger spaces. It is the point of view adopted in [40] for the particular case of composition laws a priori defined on the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

A very useful fact is that $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ is an H^*-algebra, in a way that does not depend on our starting point, the map $\pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Besides the canonical scalar product, one also has the composition of kernels

$$(F \bullet G)(s,t) := \int_\Sigma d\mu(r) F(s,r) G(r,t) \tag{4.4}$$

and the involution

$$F^\bullet(s,t) := \overline{F(t,s)}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand, on the Hilbert tensor product $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ we can consider the law $\bigstar := \star \otimes \overline{\star}$ uniquely defined by

$$(f_1 \otimes f_2) \bigstar (g_1 \otimes g_2) := (f_1 \star g_1) \otimes (g_2 \star f_2) \tag{4.6}$$

and the tensor product involution $(f_1 \otimes f_2)^\bigstar := f_1^\star \otimes f_2^\star$.

Theorem 4.4. *The canonical mapping* $\Upsilon : \left(\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,,\bigstar\,,^{\bigstar}\,\right) \to \left(\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,,\bullet\,,^{\bullet}\,\right)$ *is a well-defined isometric morphism of* H^**-algebras with range* $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,.$

Proof. Using (2.30) and the fact that Φ is isometric, for any $u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2\in\mathcal{H}$ and any $s,t\in\Sigma$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\Upsilon\left(\phi_{u_1,v_1}\otimes\phi_{u_2,v_2}\right)\right](s,t) &= \left\langle e_s^\star\star\phi_{u_1,v_1}\star e_t,\phi^\star_{u_2,v_2}\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \left\langle\phi_{\pi(s)u_1,\pi(t)v_1},\phi_{v_2,u_2}\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\\ &= \langle\pi(s)u_1,v_2\rangle\,\langle\pi(t)v_1,u_2\rangle = \phi_{u_1,v_2}(s)\,\phi_{v_1,u_2}(t)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Upsilon\left(\phi_{u_1,v_1}\otimes\phi_{u_2,v_2}\right)=\phi_{u_1,v_2}\otimes\phi_{v_1,u_2}$ which, together with the orthogonality relations verified by the functions $\phi_{u,v}$, implies easily the isometry property and the identification of the range.

Let us check the algebraic properties. For $f,g,h,k\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon[(f\otimes h)\bigstar(g\otimes k)](s,t) &= \Upsilon\left[(f\star g)\otimes(h\,\overline{\star}\,k)\right](s,t)\\ &= \langle(f\star g)\star e_t,e_s\star(k\star h)^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using cyclicity and relation (2.21)

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\Upsilon(f\otimes h)\bullet\Upsilon(g\otimes k)\right](s,t) &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(r)\,[\Upsilon(f\otimes h)](s,r)\,[\Upsilon(g\otimes k)](r,t)\\ &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(r)\,\langle f\star e_r,e_s\star h^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,\langle g\star e_t,e_r\star k^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\\ &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(r)\,\langle e_r,f^\star\star e_s\star h^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,\langle g\star e_t\star k,e_r\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\\ &= \langle g\star e_t\star k,f^\star\star e_s\star h^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle f\star g\star e_t,e_s\star h^\star\star k^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

The fact that Υ intertwines the two involutions also follows from the properties of a Hilbert algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon\left[(f\otimes h)^{\bigstar}\right](s,t) &= \langle f^\star\star e_t,e_s\star h\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \left\langle(e_s\star h)^\star,(f^\star\star e_t)^\star\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\\ &= \langle h^\star\star e_s^\star,e_t^\star\star f\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \overline{\langle e_t^\star\star f,h^\star\star e_s^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}}\\ &= \overline{\langle f\star e_s,e_t\star h^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}} = \overline{[\Upsilon(f\otimes h)]\,(t,s)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

In order to establish the connection between the mappings Φ and Υ, let us consider the representation of $\left(\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,,\bullet\,,^{\bullet}\,\right)$ on the Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ by integral operators

$$[\mathfrak{Int}(F)h](s) := \int_\Sigma d\mu(t)F(s,t)h(t)\,; \tag{4.7}$$

the range of the representation is composed of all the Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$. By composing with Υ, one gets a representation

$$\mathfrak{Int}\circ\Upsilon : \left(\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,,\bigstar\,,^{\bigstar}\,\right)\to\mathbb{B}\left[\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)\right]. \tag{4.8}$$

Since $\mathfrak{Int}(f\otimes g)=\langle\cdot,\overline{g}\rangle_{(\Sigma)}f$, we see easily that $\mathfrak{Int}(F)$ leaves the closed subspace $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ invariant for any element F of $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$; then working with restrictions one clearly has $\mathfrak{Int}\left[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\right]=\mathbb{B}_2[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)]$. With this interpretation we are going to show that $\mathfrak{Int}\circ\Upsilon$ is unitarily equivalent with the tensor product of the representation Π (in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$) with its opposite $\overline{\Pi}$ (defined by $\overline{\Pi}(f):=\Pi(f)^*$), via the unitary operator $\Phi:\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}}\to\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$. For the notation $\{\mathsf{L},\mathsf{R}\}$ we refer to (2.12).

Proposition 4.5. *One has*

$$\mathfrak{Int}\circ\Upsilon=\mathbf{U}_\Phi\circ(\Pi\otimes\overline{\Pi})=\{\mathsf{L},\mathsf{R}\}\,,\tag{4.9}$$

where $\mathbf{U}_\Phi(\mathbf{S}):=\Phi\circ\mathbf{S}\circ\Phi^{-1}$ *for* $\mathbf{S}\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}})$.

Proof. Let $f,g,k\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ and $s\in\Sigma$. A computation using Remark 2.8 and the fact that below all the products of three elements is a trace-class symbol gives

$$\begin{aligned}\{[(\mathfrak{Int}\circ\Upsilon)(f\otimes g)]\,k\}\,(s)&=\int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\,[\Upsilon(f\otimes g)]\,(s,t)\,k(t)\\&=\int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\,\langle e_t,f^\star\star e_s\star g^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,k(t)\\&=\left\langle\int_\Sigma d\mu(t)k(t)e_t,f^\star\star e_s\star g^\star\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\\&=\langle f\star k\star g,e_s\rangle_{(\Sigma)}=(f\star k\star g)(s)\,.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, computing on $k=\phi_{u,v}$ (such that $\Phi^{-1}(h)=u\otimes v$) and using (2.15):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\left[\mathbf{U}_\Phi\circ(\Pi\otimes\overline{\Pi})\right](f\otimes g)\right\}\phi_{u,v}&=\Phi\left\{[\Pi(f)\otimes\Pi(g)^*]\,(u\otimes v)\right\}\\&=\phi_{\Pi(f)u,\Pi(g)^*v}=f\star\phi_{u,v}\star g\\&=[\{\mathsf{L},\mathsf{R}\}(f\otimes g)]\,\phi_{u,v}\,,\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude by totality. ☐

Remark 4.6. From now on we are going to use the abbereviations

$$\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma):=\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}_p\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\,,\ \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma):=\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\,,\ \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma):=\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)'.\tag{4.10}$$

They are just convenient notations, but in many examples they have a very natural meaning. If $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the approximation property, so does the Fréchet space $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\mathcal{G}$ by [36] and also $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ by transport of structure. Therefore in such a case, by Remark 2.19, one has the topological isomorphism $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\gamma\cong\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\gamma\widehat{\otimes}_i\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\gamma$.

Let us place ourselves in the framework of Section 2.3. Recall that the family $\{\phi_{u_1,v_1}\otimes\phi_{u_2,v_2}\mid u_1,v_1,u_2,v_2\in\mathcal{G}\}$ is a total subset of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$. Since we have $\Upsilon\,(\phi_{u_1,v_1}\otimes\phi_{u_2,v_2})=\phi_{u_1,v_2}\otimes\phi_{v_1,u_2}$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.4), it follows that $\Upsilon\,[\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)]=\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$, so we get by restriction an isomorphism of Fréchet-Hilbert algebras

$$\left(\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,,\bigstar\,,^{\bigstar}\,\right)\xrightarrow{\ \Upsilon\ }\left(\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,,\bullet\,,^{\bullet}\,\right).\tag{4.11}$$

Continuity follows from the Closed Graph Theorem or directly from a careful examination of the projective topologies. Therefore, by arguments similar to those in Section 2.3 and relying on Theorem 4.4, one gets

Corollary 4.7. *Assume that the Fréchet space* $\mathcal{G}$ *is continuously and densely embedded in* $\mathcal{H}$ *. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of Hilbert algebra Gelfand triples*

$$\Upsilon:(\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma),\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma),\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\nu)\to(\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma),\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma),\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\nu)\,.\tag{4.12}$$

The two Gelfand triples above are identical within the category of locally convex spaces but are very different as *-algebras; see (4.11) for instance. As before, on $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ any of the topologies $\nu=\sigma,\gamma,\beta$ is allowed (simultaneously in both members, of course).

The procedure of extension of the algebraic structure indicated in section 2.4 can be applied to the Fréchet-Hilbert algebra $\big(\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma),\bigstar,^{\bigstar}\big)$, getting a Moyal algebra $\mathscr{G}'_{\bigstar}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$, and to $(\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma),\bullet,^{\bullet})$, getting a Moyal algebra $\mathscr{G}'_{\bullet}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$. One gets easily

Corollary 4.8. *The application Υ restricts (or extends, depending on the starting point) to an isomorphism of *-algebras*

$$\Upsilon:\mathscr{G}'_{\bigstar}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}'_{\bullet}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Remark 4.9. Later on we are going to need the fact that the unitary integral operator $\mathfrak{Int}:\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\to\mathbb{B}_2[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)]$ extends to a linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{Int}:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\to\mathbb{B}[\mathscr{G}(\Sigma),\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\sigma]$. This is actually the isomorphisms of Remark 2.18 with $\mathcal{G}$ replaced by the Fréchet space $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ (and $\mathcal{H}$ replaced by the Hilbert space $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$). We set $\mathfrak{Int}(f\otimes g)h=\langle h,\overline{g}\rangle_{(\Sigma)}f$ for every $f,g\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ and $h\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ and this mapping defined on the algebraic tensor product $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\otimes\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$, compatible with the initial $\mathfrak{Int}$, extends to the final isomorphism.

We now perform *localization* of the canonical mapping on symbols; it amounts essentially to regarding Υ as a "function of two variables" and then fixing the first one in a convenient way. For $h\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$ (often called *window* or *analyzing vector*) we set

$$\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,,\qquad \Upsilon_h(f):=\Upsilon(f\otimes h)\,. \tag{4.14}$$

Defining

$$J_h:\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\ \text{ by }\ J_h(f):=f\otimes h\,, \tag{4.15}$$

then in terms of the adjoint $J_h^\dagger:\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ given explicitly by

$$\Big[J_h^\dagger(F)\Big](s)=\langle F(s,\cdot),h\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,,\qquad \mu-\text{a.e. }s\in\Sigma\,, \tag{4.16}$$

one has the relations

$$J_h^\dagger\circ J_k=\langle k,h\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,\mathrm{id}\,,\qquad J_k\circ J_h^\dagger=\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathfrak{Int}(k\otimes\overline{h})\,. \tag{4.17}$$

Then clearly $\Upsilon_h=\Upsilon\circ J_h$ and the following formulae hold:

$$\Upsilon_h^\dagger\circ\Upsilon_k=J_h^\dagger\circ J_k=\langle k,h\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,\mathrm{id}\,, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\Upsilon_k\circ\Upsilon_h^\dagger=\Upsilon\circ\big[\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathfrak{Int}(k\otimes\overline{h})\big]\circ\Upsilon^{-1}. \tag{4.19}$$

In particular $\parallel h\parallel_{(\Sigma)}^{-1}\Upsilon_h$ is an isometry with range $\Upsilon\left[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}h\right]$. If h and k are not orthogonal, we get from (4.18) for any $f\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$

$$f=\frac{1}{\langle h,k\rangle_{(\Sigma)}}\,\Upsilon_h^\dagger\left[\Upsilon_k(f)\right]\,, \tag{4.20}$$

which is referred to as *the inversion (or the reconstruction) formula*.

Although the relations above will be sufficient subsequently, we are also interested in an explicit formula for the adjoint $\Upsilon_h^\dagger$. An easy computation leads to

$$\Upsilon_h^\dagger(F)=\int_\Sigma\!\int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\,d\mu(t)\,F(s,t)\ e_s\star h^\star\star e_t^\star\,,\qquad\forall\,F\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,, \tag{4.21}$$

which should be interpreted weakly, i.e. applied by duality on vectors $f\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$.

Remark 4.10. Let us discuss algebraic properties. By using Theorem 4.4, one gets immediately for every $f,g,h,k\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$

$$\Upsilon_h(f)\bullet\Upsilon_k(g)=\Upsilon_{k\star h}(f\star g)\,,\qquad \Upsilon_h(f)^\bullet=\Upsilon_{h^\star}(f^\star)\,. \tag{4.22}$$

Hence, very often, we are going to use self-adjoint idempotent windows $h=h^\star=h\star h$, for which *the localized canonical map* Υ_h *will be a monomorphism of* **-algebras.* To give a useful example, one gets a self-adjoint projection $h=\phi_{w,w}$ for any unit vector w.

All the above form the $\mathscr{L}^2$-part of the localized theory of the canonical map. Let us use now the opportunities offered by the Gelfand triples constructed in sections 2.3 and 4.1 starting from a Fréchet space $\mathcal{G}$ continuously embedded in $\mathcal{H}$.

In fact most of the time we fix a window h in $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$ and, besides the initial $\Upsilon_h=\Upsilon\circ J_h$, we work both with the restriction $\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ and (especially) with the extension $\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\nu$. They are all well-defined linear injective and continuous because of the isomorphism properties of Υ specified in Corollary 4.7 and the obvious mapping properties of

$$J_h:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\otimes\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\subset\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\otimes\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\subset\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,.$$

It would be cumbersome to give them different names, so the meaning should be specified when needed or deduced from the context.

On the other hand, $\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ possesses an adjoint $\Upsilon_h^\dagger:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\nu\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$ which is linear and continuous for any of the topologies $\nu=\sigma,\gamma,\beta$ (cf. [53, p.199]) and which extends the previous $\Upsilon_h^\dagger:\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\to\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$. *The inversion formula (4.20) still holds for* $f\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ *and* $h,k\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ *with* $\langle h,k\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\neq 0$, by the continuity of the ingredients of the expression $\Upsilon_h^\dagger\circ\Upsilon_k:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$ and the fact that $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ is dense in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\nu$ for $\nu=\sigma,\gamma$. One gets the same conclusion for $\nu=\beta$ by analyzing in detail the way these ingredients were defined, but this is not necessary.

Remark 4.11. The treatment of extension of the maps Υ and Υ_h is rather abstract. It relies on tensor products and duality techniques working in the general setting of what we called above Hilbert algebra Gelfand triples. We indicate now briefly another approach on extending Υ_h, based on Remark 4.3. Formula (4.3) suggests defining $\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ by

$$\langle\Upsilon_h(f),g\otimes k\rangle_{(\Sigma\times\Sigma)}:=\big\langle f,g\star h^\star\star\overline{k}^{\,\star}\big\rangle_{(\Sigma)}=\big\langle f\star\overline{k},g\star h^\star\big\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,, \tag{4.23}$$

where $h\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$ is the fixed window and g,k are arbitrary elements of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$. The third term in (4.23), if needed, relies on the extension given by Theorem 2.20. One can also write the quantities in (4.23) as $\langle\mathfrak{Int}\,[\Upsilon_h(f)]\,\overline{g},k\rangle_{(\Sigma)}$. Rather straightforward arguments justifies this definition and extracts from it the useful properties of Υ_h; the reader will easily check the compatibility between the two points of view.

4.2 Coorbit spaces of symbols

We keep the same setting as above, consisting of the data $(\Sigma,\mu,\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H},\pi)$, assuming Hypohesis 2.1 and the fact that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Fréchet space embedded densely and continuously in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 4.12. *Let* $\mathscr{M}$ *be a vector subspace of* $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$. *Its coorbit space associated to the window* $h\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$) *is*

$$\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}):=\{f\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\mid\Upsilon_h(f)\in\mathscr{M}\}\,. \tag{4.24}$$

Clearly $\mathsf{CO}_h\,[\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)]=\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$, and for such a result one could also take $h\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. Analogously, one has $\mathsf{CO}_h\,[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma)]=\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ even for $h\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$. However, we are going to confine our interest to "smooth" windows $h\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$. Notice that the spaces $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$, being isomorphic to some topological tensor products, are somehow particular. The generality of the space $\mathcal{G}$ as well as lot of examples validate our decision to choose windows in $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ and the space $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ as a "reservoir", but this is not the single option.

The next result gives a first nontrivial example of coorbit space and will also be useful in some of the subsequent proofs.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $h\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$. Then $f\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ belongs to $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ if and only if $\Upsilon_h(f)\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$. In other words $\mathsf{CO}_h\,[\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)]=\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. The "only if part" is already known. For the "if part", assume that $\Upsilon_h(f)\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$. By the extended inversion formula and by the obvious mapping property $\Upsilon_h^\dagger:\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ one has

$$f=\parallel h\parallel_{(\Sigma)}^{-2}\,\Upsilon_h^\dagger\,[\Upsilon_h(f)]\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\,.$$

□

Even before introducing topologies, let us give some algebraic properties of the coorbit spaces. The extensions of the algebraic structures (Theorem 2.20) and of the isomorphism Υ (Corollary 4.7) allow us to state useful versions of (4.22). For example, this relation also hold for $g,h,k\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ and $f\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. The next Corollary follows easily from the definitions, from such considerations and from Lemma 4.13; see also Corollary 4.8.

Corollary 4.14.

1. *If $h\star h=h^\star=h\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$, then $\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ restricts to an injective morphism of *-algebras $\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}'_\bullet(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ and one has $\mathsf{CO}_h\,[\mathscr{G}'_\bullet(\Sigma\times\Sigma)]=\mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma)$.*
2. *If $\mathscr{M}$ is a *-subalgebra of $\mathscr{G}'_\bullet(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$, then $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ is a *-subalgebra of $\mathscr{G}'_\star(\Sigma)$.*

If $(\mathscr{M},\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{M}})$ is a normed space (usually taken to be continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ endowed with the weak*-topology), we endow $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ with the norm

$$\parallel f\parallel_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})}:=\parallel\Upsilon_h(f)\parallel_{\mathscr{M}}\,. \tag{4.25}$$

More general locally convex topologies could be pulled back through the localized canonical map, but we are not going to do this.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a normed space continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\sigma$.*

1. *For $h\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\setminus\{0\}$, $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ is a normed space continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)_\sigma$.*
2. *If $\mathscr{M}$ is a Banach space, $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ is also a Banach space.*

Proof. 1. It is obvious that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})}$ is a norm; recall that $\Upsilon_h:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ is injective.

If f_n converges to f in $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$, then $\Upsilon_h(f_n)$ converges to $\Upsilon_h(f)$ in $\mathscr{M}$, hence also *-weakly in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$. But $\Upsilon:\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\sigma\to\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)_\sigma$ is a topological isomorphism and thus $f_n\otimes h$ converges to $f\otimes h$ *-weakly in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$. It follows that f_n converges to f *-weakly in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$.

2. Let us show that the inducing process preserves completeness. Clearly $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathscr{M}(h):=\mathscr{M}\cap\Upsilon_h[\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)]$. So we have to show that $\mathscr{M}(h)$ is closed in $\mathscr{M}$. Let $\big(\Upsilon_h(f_n)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathscr{M}(h)$, converging to $G\in\mathscr{M}$. Due to the continuity of the embedding of $\mathscr{M}$ in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ we also have

$$\Upsilon_h(f_n)\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}G\ \text{ in }\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\,. \tag{4.26}$$

Set $f := \frac{1}{\|h\|^2}\Upsilon_h^\dagger(G) \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. Using the extended inversion formula one gets

$$f - f_n = \frac{1}{\| h \|^2_{(\Sigma)}} \Upsilon_h^\dagger[G - \Upsilon_h(f_n)] \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ in } \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$$

which, together with (4.26), imply $G = \Upsilon_h(f) \in \mathscr{M}(h)$. ☐

Plainly, in Proposition 4.15 the weak* topology can be replaced by others, as $\nu = \gamma$ or $\nu = \beta$ for instance. When the choice of the topology on $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ is not important, we are no longer going to indicate it explicitly.

Remark 4.16. Obviously, if $\| \cdot \|_{\mathscr{M}}$ is a C^*-norm then $\| \cdot \|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})}$ will also be a C^*-norm:

$$\| f^\star \star f \|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})} = \| \Upsilon_h(f^\star \star f) \|_{\mathscr{M}} = \| \Upsilon_h(f)^\bullet \bullet \Upsilon_h(f) \|_{\mathscr{M}} = \| \Upsilon_h(f) \|^2_{\mathscr{M}} = \| f \|^2_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})} .$$

We study now the dependence of the induced norms and spaces on the window h.

Proposition 4.17. *Assume that for some $h, k \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}$ one has*

$$\left(\Upsilon_k \circ \Upsilon_h^\dagger\right) \mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{M}. \tag{4.27}$$

Then $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}) \subset \mathsf{CO}_k(\mathscr{M})$. In addition, if $\mathscr{M}$ is a Banach space continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$, the embedding of $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ in $\mathsf{CO}_k(\mathscr{M})$ is continuous.

Proof. By the extended inversion formula, if $f \in \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ then

$$f = \frac{1}{\| h \|^2_{(\Sigma)}} \Upsilon_h^\dagger[\Upsilon_h(f)] \in \Upsilon_h^\dagger(\mathscr{M}),$$

which implies $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}) \subset \Upsilon_h^\dagger(\mathscr{M})$. So we need to show that $\Upsilon_h^\dagger(\mathscr{M}) \subset \mathsf{CO}_k(\mathscr{M})$. But

$$f = \Upsilon_h^\dagger(G), \text{ with } G \in \mathscr{M} \implies \Upsilon_k(f) = [\Upsilon_k \circ \Upsilon_h^\dagger](G) \in \mathscr{M}.$$

To prove the topological embedding, note that $\Upsilon_k \circ \Upsilon_h^\dagger \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{M})$ by the Closed Graph Theorem. This and the inversion formula give easily the norm estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \| f \|_{\mathsf{CO}_k(\mathscr{M})} &= \frac{1}{\| h \|^2_{(\Sigma)}} \left\| \Upsilon_k \left[\left(\Upsilon_h^\dagger \circ \Upsilon_h \right) f \right] \right\|_{\mathscr{M}} \\ &\le \frac{\| \Upsilon_k \circ \Upsilon_h^\dagger \|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{M})}}{\| h \|^2_{(\Sigma)}} \, \| f \|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})} . \end{aligned}$$

☐

Let us say that the subspace $\mathscr{M}$ is *admissible* if one has $\left(\Upsilon_k \circ \Upsilon_h^\dagger\right) \mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{M}$ for every $h, k \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}$. By the result above, *if a Banach space $\mathscr{M}$ continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ is admissible, we could speak of the Banachizable space* $\mathsf{CO}(\mathscr{M}) := \Upsilon_h^{-1}(\mathscr{M})$, which is continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$; the vector space and the topology do not depend on $h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}$.

Recall that $\Upsilon_k \circ \Upsilon_h^\dagger = \Upsilon \circ J_k \circ J_h^\dagger \circ \Upsilon^{-1}$. Set $\mathscr{N} := \Upsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{M})$; is has properties analogous to those of $\mathscr{M}$. Thus, for admissibility, it is enough to prove that

$$J_{k,h} := J_k \circ J_h^\dagger = \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathfrak{Int}(k \otimes \overline{h}) : \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$$

restricts to an operator $J_{k,h} : \mathscr{N} \to \mathscr{N}$. This surely holds for $\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{G}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$, case in which $\mathscr{N} = \mathscr{G}(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \equiv \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}_p \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$.

In general, (4.27) may fail even for $h = k$. There are many pairs $(h, \mathscr{M})$ for which $J_h \circ J_h^\dagger = \mathrm{id} \otimes \left[\langle \cdot, h \rangle_{(\Sigma)} h\right]$ does not leave a subspace $\mathscr{N} := \Upsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{M})$ invariant. If, however, $(\Upsilon_h \circ \Upsilon_h^\dagger)\mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{M}$ for every $h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$, we are going to say that $\mathscr{M}$ is *diagonally admissible*; this is weaker than admissible.

Proposition 4.18. *1. If $\mathscr{M}$ is a diagonally admissible Banach space continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$, for every $h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}$ the map $\Upsilon_h^\dagger : \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ restricts to a retract $\Upsilon_h^\dagger : \mathscr{M} \to \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$.*

2. Suppose, in addition, that $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ is contained densely in $\mathscr{M}$. Then $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ is dense in $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$.

3. For any $h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}$, the family of coorbit spaces $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ of all diagonally admissible Banach spaces $\mathscr{M}$ continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ is stable by any interpolation method.

Proof. 1. We already know from the proof of Proposition 4.17 that $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}) \subset \Upsilon_h^\dagger(\mathscr{M})$, as a consequence of the inversion formula; the opposite inclusion follows from diagonal admissibility. Then apply the Closed Graph Theorem to conclude that $\Upsilon_h^\dagger \in \mathbb{B}[\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}), \mathscr{M}]$. The inversion formula shows that this mapping is a retract.

2. The inclusion $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \subset \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$ follows from Lemma 4.13 and from the monotony of the inducing process, so we only need to prove density.

Let $f \in \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$, i.e. $F := \Upsilon_h(f) \in \mathscr{M}$. One has $\| F - F_n \|_{\mathscr{M}} \to 0$ for some sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{G}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$. Then $f_n := \| h \|_{(\Sigma)}^{-2} \Upsilon_h^\dagger(F_n) \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$, because $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) = \mathsf{CO}[\mathscr{G}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)]$ and $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ is admissible. By the point 1

$$\| f - f_n \|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})} = \| h \|_{(\Sigma)}^{-2} \| \Upsilon_h^\dagger [\Upsilon_h(f) - F_n] \|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})} \le c \, \| F - F_n \|_{\mathscr{M}} \to 0 \,,$$

which proves that $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ is dense in $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$.

3. We need to know that diagonal admissibility is preserved by interpolation; this is obvious from the definitions (to be found in [54]). It is well-known that the interpolation functors commute with retracts so, by point 1, we get

$$\mathbf{F}[\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}_0), \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}_1)] = \mathsf{CO}_h[\mathbf{F}(\mathscr{M}_0), \mathbf{F}(\mathscr{M}_1)]$$

for such functor $\mathbf{F}$ and for any diagonally admissible interpolation couple $(\mathscr{M}_0, \mathscr{M}_1)$. ☐

We treat now the problem of duality.

Proposition 4.19. *Assume that $(\mathscr{M}, \| \cdot \|_{\mathscr{M}})$ is a Banach space continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)_\sigma$ and containing $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ continuously and densely. Assume in addition that $\mathscr{M}$ is diagonally admissible and that $h \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}$. Then one has a canonical identification between $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})'$ and $\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}')$.*

Proof. Let us denote by $i : \mathscr{G}(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \to \mathscr{M}$ the canonical injection. Then the transpose $i' : \mathscr{M}'_\beta \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)_\beta \hookrightarrow \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma \times \Sigma)_\sigma$ is continuous, where each dual has been endowed with its own strong topology; note that $\mathscr{M}'_\beta$ is a Banach space. The map i' is also injective, since i was assumed to have a dense range; thus we can apply to $\mathscr{M}' = \mathscr{M}'_\beta$ the coorbit procedure.

Let us set $\varphi : \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}') \to \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})'$ by

$$\langle \varphi(f), g \rangle_{(\Sigma)} := \langle \Upsilon_h(f), \Upsilon_h(g) \rangle_{(\Sigma\times\Sigma)} \,, \qquad \forall\, f \in \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}'),\, g \in \mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}) \,. \tag{4.28}$$

Actually the duality of the r.h.s. is the one between $\mathscr{M}'$ and $\mathscr{M}$ but, by compatibility, we can use the previous notation $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{(\Sigma\times\Sigma)}$.

One has for all $g\in\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})$

$$\begin{aligned}|\,\langle\varphi(f),g\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,| &= |\,\langle\Upsilon_h(f),\Upsilon_h(g)\rangle_{(\Sigma\times\Sigma)}\,|\\ &\le \|\,\Upsilon_h(f)\,\|_{\mathscr{M}'}\,\|\,\Upsilon_h(g)\,\|_{\mathscr{M}}\\ &= \|\,f\,\|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}')}\,\|\,g\,\|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})}\,,\end{aligned}$$

which shows both that the functional $\varphi(f)$ is continuous and that the correspondence $f\mapsto\varphi(f)$ is contractive.

Let us show now surjectivity. For $f'\in\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})'$, let us denote by f_0' its restriction to $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$; then $f_0'\in\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. We need to show that $f_0'\in\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M}')$, i.e. that $\Upsilon_h\,(f_0')\in\mathscr{M}'$. For $G\in\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$, by the choice we made on f' and by the first assertion in Proposition 4.18 (using diagonal admissibility) we have

$$\left|\,\langle\Upsilon_h(f_0')\,,G\rangle_{(\Sigma\times\Sigma)}\,\right| = \left|\,\left\langle f_0',\Upsilon_h^\dagger(G)\right\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\,\right| \le c_1\,\|\,\Upsilon_h^\dagger(G)\,\|_{\mathsf{CO}_h(\mathscr{M})}\le c_2\,\|\,G\,\|_{\mathscr{M}}\,.$$

This and the density of $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ in $\mathscr{M}$ shows indeed that $\Upsilon_h(f_0')\in\mathscr{M}'$. By using the extended inversion formula it is easily seen that $\varphi\left(\|\,h\,\|_{(\Sigma)}^{-2}\,f_0'\right)=f'$.

Being a continuous linear bijection between two Banach spaces, φ is an isomorphism by the Open Mapping Theorem. As a matter of fact, some more work shows that it isometric. $\square$

4.3 Coorbit spaces of vectors

Let us fix an element $w\in\mathcal{H}$. The family $\{w(s):=\pi(s)^*w\,|\,s\in\Sigma\}$ is a tight continuous frame [21], as a consequence of Hypothesis 2.1. The constant of the frame is $C=\|\,w\,\|^2$; we assume it to be 1 by normalizing w . So we have in weak sense

$$1=\int_\Sigma d\mu(s)|w(s)\rangle\langle w(s)|\,. \tag{4.29}$$

We define $\phi_w:\mathcal{H}\to\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\subset\mathscr{L}^2(\Sigma)$ by

$$\phi_w(u):=\phi_{u,w}=\langle\pi(\cdot)u,w\rangle=\langle u,w(\cdot)\rangle\,, \tag{4.30}$$

with adjoint $\phi_w^\dagger:\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\to\mathcal{H}$ given by

$$\phi_w^\dagger(f)=\int_\Sigma d\mu(s)f(s)\pi(s)^*w=\Pi(f)w\,. \tag{4.31}$$

To show the analogy with the localized mappings $\Upsilon_h=\Upsilon\circ J_h$ of the previous section, we could use $\mathcal{H}\ni u\mapsto j_w(u):=u\otimes w\in\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ to write $\phi_w=\Phi\circ j_w$. The kernel associated to the frame is the function $p_w:\Sigma\times\Sigma\to\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$p_w(s,t):=\langle w(t),w(s)\rangle=\langle\pi(t)^*w,\pi(s)^*w\rangle=\phi_{w(t),w}(s)=\overline{\phi_{w(s),w}(t)}\,, \tag{4.32}$$

defining a self-adjoint integral operator $P_w=\mathfrak{Int}(p_w)$ in $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$. One checks easily that $P_w=\phi_w\phi_w^\dagger$ is the final projection of the isometry ϕ_w, so $P_w\left[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\right]$ is a closed subspace of $\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$. Since $\phi_w^\dagger\phi_w=1$, one has the inversion formula

$$u=\int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\,[\phi_w(u)]\,(t)\,w(t) \tag{4.33}$$

and the reproducing formula $\phi_w(u) = P_w\left[\phi_w(u)\right]$, i.e.

$$[\phi_w(u)]\,(s) = \int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\,\langle w(t), w(s)\rangle\,[\phi_w(u)]\,(t)\,. \tag{4.34}$$

Thus $\mathscr{P}_w(\Sigma) := P_w\left[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)\right]$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel p_w; it is composed of bounded continuous functions on Σ.

Let us assume, in addition, that the "window" w belongs to the Fréchet space $\mathcal{G}$ continuously and densely embedded in $\mathcal{H}$. We are going to use the notations and results of section 2.3. In particular, these results justify the linear mapping $\phi_w : \mathcal{G}' \to \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ which will be used to pull back algebraic and topological structure.

Definition 4.20. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a Banach space continuously embedded in $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$. Its* coorbit space (associated to the couple (π, w)) *is*

$$\mathsf{co}_w(\mathcal{M}) := \{u \in \mathcal{G}' \mid \phi_w(u) \in \mathcal{M}\} \tag{4.35}$$

with the norm $\|\,u\,\|_{\mathsf{co}_w(\mathcal{M})} := \|\,\phi_w(u)\,\|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Remark 4.21. Since coorbit spaces of vectors are not our main concern, we are not going to develop their theory. After suitable adaptations, a lot can be said just by particularizing results from [21]. It is also possible to make convenient modifications in developments and proofs contained in the preceding section on coorbit spaces symbols, by making the replacement $\Upsilon_h \mapsto \phi_w$. So let us just state the rather obvious fact, that will be used below, that $\mathsf{co}_w(\mathcal{M})$ is a Banach space continuously embedded in $\mathcal{G}'$. Simple arguments based on the inversion formula and the mapping properties of $\phi_w^\dagger$ show that $\mathsf{co}_w[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)] = \mathcal{H}$, $\mathsf{co}_w[\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)] = \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathsf{co}_w[\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)] = \mathcal{G}'$ (if $\mathcal{G}$ is not Banach, the second and the third examples can be taken in the category of vector spaces).

The next result gathers in a single formula the transformations π_w, Υ_h, Π and $\mathfrak{Int}$ and will imply a general result on boundedness of operators $\Pi(f)$ between modulation spaces. It is a consequence of Proposition 4.5, but it is better to give a direct proof.

Proposition 4.22. *1. For any $f \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ and $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, one has in $\mathbb{B}[\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)]$*

$$\phi_{w_2}\Pi(f)\phi_{w_1}^\dagger = \mathfrak{Int}\left[\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(f)\right]. \tag{4.36}$$

2. If $f \in \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ and $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, the same identity holds in $\mathbb{B}[\mathscr{G}(\Sigma), \mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)]$.

Proof. 1. We compute for $k \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ and $s \in \Sigma$, using (4.31), (2.5) and the symbolic calculus

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\left(\phi_{w_2}\Pi(f)\phi_{w_1}^\dagger\right)k\right](s) &= \left\langle \pi(s)\Pi(f)\left[\phi_{w_1}^\dagger(k)\right], w_2\right\rangle = \langle \Pi(k)w_1, \Pi(f)^*\pi(s)^* w_2\rangle \\ &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\,k(t)\,\langle \pi(t)^* w_1, \Pi(f)^*\pi(s)^* w_2\rangle \\ &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\,k(t)\,\langle \Pi\left(e_s^\star \star f \star e_t\right) w_1, w_2\rangle \\ &= \int_\Sigma d\mu(t)\,k(t)\,\langle e_s^\star \star f \star e_t, \phi_{w_2,w_1}\rangle_{(\Sigma)} \\ &= \left(\mathfrak{Int}\left[\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(f)\right]k\right)(s)\,.\end{aligned}$$

2. This can be justified repeating the computation above in weak sense, applied to a "test function" $l \in \mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$, using our previous information about the extended objects. One has, with suitable

interpretations and also using Remark 4.11

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle\big(\phi_{w_2}\Pi(f)\phi^\dagger_{w_1}\big)\,k,l\big\rangle_{(\Sigma)} &= \big\langle\Pi(f)\,\phi^\dagger_{w_1}k,\phi^\dagger_{w_2}l\big\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \langle\Pi(f)\Pi(k)w_1,\Pi(l)w_2\rangle\\ &= \langle\Pi(l^\star\star f\star k)w_1,w_2\rangle = \langle l^\star\star f\star k,\phi_{w_1,w_2}\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\\ &= \langle f,l\star\phi_{w_1,w_2}\star k^\star\rangle_{(\Sigma)} = \big\langle\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(f),l\otimes\overline{k}\big\rangle_{(\Sigma\times\Sigma)}\\ &= \big\langle\mathfrak{Int}\left[\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(f)\right]k,l\big\rangle_{(\Sigma)}\ .\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.23. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}_1,\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_1})$ *and* $(\mathcal{M}_2,\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_2})$ *be two Banach spaces continuously embedded in* $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ *and* $(\mathscr{M},\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{M}})$ *be a Banach space continuously embedded in* $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ *. If* $\mathfrak{Int}(\mathscr{M})\subset\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2)$ *, then* $\Pi\left[\mathsf{CO}_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(\mathscr{M})\right]\subset\mathbb{B}\left[\mathsf{co}_{w_1}(\mathcal{M}_1),\mathsf{co}_{w_2}(\mathcal{M}_2)\right]$.

Proof. Assume that $f\in\mathsf{CO}_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(\mathscr{M})$, meaning that $\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(f)\in\mathscr{M}$. Let also $u\in\mathsf{co}_{w_1}(\mathcal{M}_1)$, thus $\phi_{w_1}(u)\in\mathcal{M}_1$. Applying the assumption, we get

$$v:=\mathfrak{Int}\left[\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(f)\right]\phi_{w_1}(u)\in\mathcal{M}_2\,.$$

By (4.36), this can be written $v=\phi_{w_2}\left[\Pi(f)\right]u\in\mathcal{M}_2$, meaning that $\Pi(f)u\in\mathsf{co}_{w_2}(\mathcal{M}_2)$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\|\,\Pi(f)u\,\|_{\mathsf{co}_{w_2}(\mathcal{M}_2)} &= \|\,\phi_{w_2}\left[\Pi(f)u\right]\|_{\mathcal{M}_2}\\ &= \|\,\mathfrak{Int}\left[\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_2,w_1}}(f)\right]\phi_{w_1}(u)\,\|_{\mathcal{M}_1}\\ &\le C\,\|\,\phi_{w_1}(u)\,\|_{\mathcal{M}_1} = C\,\|\,u\,\|_{\mathsf{co}_{w_1}(\mathcal{M}_1)}\ .\end{aligned}$$

□

Besides giving the pair $(\mathcal{H},\pi)$ satisfying Hypothesis 2.1, the formalism benefits from a good choice of a space $\mathcal{G}$. As explained in section 2.3, even the trivial choice $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{H}$ is fruitful, because of the richness of the theory of topological tensor products. In particular it will lead to strict subspaces $\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}_p\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}$, $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)\subset\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma)$ and $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\subset\mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ (consisting of "trace-class elements"). Consequently, the corresponding duals will contain strictly the corresponding Hilbert spaces. So, even in this case, we have a small space $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma)$ playing the role of reservoir of windows and a large space $\mathscr{G}'(\Sigma)$ as reservoir of "generalized symbols" to play with. But clearly one would need better choices. We follow now the approach of [17] and [21] to show that such better choices are possible.

So let us consider continuous *admissible weights* $A:\Sigma\times\Sigma\to[1,\infty)$ which are

- bounded along the diagonal: $A(s,s)\le C<\infty$ for all $s\in\Sigma$,
- symmetric: $A(s,t)=A(t,s)$ for all $s,t\in\Sigma$,
- satisfying $A(s,t)\le A(s,r)A(r,t)$ for all $r,s,t\in\Sigma$.

It is easy to see that

$$\mathscr{A}_A:=\{K:\Sigma\times\Sigma\to\mathbb{C}\text{ measurable}\mid\|\,K\,\|_{\mathscr{A}_A}<\infty\}\tag{4.37}$$

is a Banach *-algebra of kernels with the norm

$$\|\,K\,\|_{\mathscr{A}_A}:=\max\left\{\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\in\Sigma}\int_\Sigma d\mu(t)(AK)(s,t)\,,\ \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\Sigma}\int_\Sigma d\mu(s)(AK)(s,t)\right\}\ .\tag{4.38}$$

Picking some (inessential) point $r \in \Sigma$ one defines the weight $a \equiv a_r : \Sigma \to [1,\infty)$ by $a(s) := A(s,r)$. Fixing also a unit vector $w_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, we require that the kernel p_{w_0} given by (4.32) be an element of $\mathscr{A}_A$. Then set $\mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{a,w_0} := \{w \in \mathcal{H} \mid \phi_{w_0}(w) \in \mathscr{L}^1_a(\Sigma)\}$ with the obvious norm

$$\| w \|_{\mathcal{G}_{a,w_0}} := \| \phi_{w_0}(w) \|_{\mathscr{L}^1_a(\Sigma)} = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\, a(s)\, |\,[\phi_{w_0}(w)]\,(s)|\,. \tag{4.39}$$

Proposition 4.24. *1. The space $\mathcal{G}_{a,w_0}$ is a Banach space continuously and densely embedded in $\mathcal{H}$.*

2. Setting $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{a,w_0}$, then the space $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) := \Phi\left(\mathcal{G}\widehat{\otimes}_p \overline{\mathcal{G}}\right)$ is given by

$$\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) = \left\{h \in \mathscr{B}_2(\Sigma) \mid \Upsilon_{\phi_{w_0,w_0}}(h) \in \mathscr{L}^1_{a\otimes a}(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\right\}. \tag{4.40}$$

Proof. 1. For the Banach space property we send to [21, Prop.3.1]. The embedding follows from Hypothesis 2.1, from $a(\cdot) \ge 1$ and from the bound $[\phi_{w_0}(w)]\,(\cdot) \le D < \infty$:

$$\| w \|^2_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\, |\,[\phi_{w_0}(w)]\,(s)\,|^2 \le D \int_\Sigma d\mu(s)\, a(s)\, |\,[\phi_{w_0}(w)]\,(s)\,|\,. \tag{4.41}$$

To prove density, it is enough to check that the total family $\{w_0(s) = \pi(s)^* w_0 \mid s \in \Sigma\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_{a,w_0}$. As a matter of fact, as in [21, (3.9)], it follows easily from the requirement $p_{w_0} \in \mathscr{A}_A$ that

$$\| w_0(s) \|_{\mathcal{G}_{a,w_0}} \le a(s)\, \| p_{w_0} \|_{\mathscr{A}_A}\,. \tag{4.42}$$

If we are not interested in the dependence of s of the "constant" in (4.42), much less can be required on p_{w_0}. For other possible developments we refer to Ch.3 in [21].

2. Obviously the weight can be absorbed in the measure: one has $\mathscr{L}^1_a(\Sigma\,;\mu) = \mathscr{L}^1(\Sigma\,; a\mu)$ and $\mathscr{L}^1_{a\otimes a}(\Sigma\times\Sigma\,;\mu\otimes\mu) = \mathscr{L}^1(\Sigma\times\Sigma\,;(a\mu)\otimes(a\mu))$, so it is enough to consider the case $A(r,s) = 1$ for every $r,s \in \Sigma$.

By the first computation of the proof of Theorem 4.4 we have

$$\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_0,w_0}}[\Phi(u\otimes v)] := \Upsilon\left(\phi_{u,v}\otimes\phi_{w_0,w_0}\right) = \phi_{u,w_0}\otimes\phi_{v,w_0} = \phi_{w_0}(u)\otimes\phi_{w_0}(v)\,,$$

which implies that $\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_0,w_0}}\circ\Phi = \phi_{w_0}\otimes\phi_{w_0}$. Since $\mathscr{L}^1(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ can be seen as the completed projective tensor product $\mathscr{L}^1(\Sigma)\widehat{\otimes}_p\mathscr{L}^1(\Sigma)$ (by [53, Ch.46]), the result follows easily. ☐

So, in a certain sense, $\mathcal{G}_{a,w_0}$ is a coorbit space of vectors $\mathsf{co}_{w_0}\left[\mathscr{L}^1_a(\Sigma)\right]$ and the reservoir of symbol-windows associated to $\mathcal{G}_{a,w_0}$ is also a coorbit space. For $A = 1$, one could call $\mathcal{G}_{1,w_0}$ *the Feichtinger space of vectors* and the resulting $\mathscr{G}(\Sigma) = \mathsf{CO}_{\phi_{w_0,w_0}}\left[\mathscr{L}^1(\Sigma\times\Sigma)\right]$ *the Feichtinger space of symbols*, both associated to the family $\pi(\cdot)$.

Remark 4.25. Using the relation $\Upsilon_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}}\circ\Phi = \phi_{w_1}\otimes\phi_{w_2}$ one can also prove, as a generalization of point 2. of the Proposition, identities of the form

$$\Phi\left[\mathsf{co}_{w_1}(\mathcal{M}_1)\widehat{\otimes}_p\,\mathsf{co}_{w_2}(\mathcal{M}_2)\right] = \mathsf{CO}_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}}\left[\mathcal{M}_1\widehat{\otimes}_p\mathcal{M}_2\right]\,, \tag{4.43}$$

for vectors w_1, w_2 and Banach spaces $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$.

References

[1] P. Aniello: *Square Integrable Projective Representations and Square Integrable Representations Modulo a Relatively Central Subgroup*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **3**, 233-267, (2006).

[2] P. Aniello: *Star Products: a Group-Theoretical Point of View*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** 475210 doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/47/475210.

[3] P. Aniello, V.I. Man'ko and G. Marmo: *Frame Transforms, Star Products and Quantum Mechanics on Phase Space*, J. Phys. A. Math. Teor. **41**, 285–304, (2009).

[4] I. Beltiţă and D. Beltiţă: *Magnetic Pseudo-differential Weyl Calculus on Nilpotent Lie Groups.* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **36** (3), 293–322, (2009).

[5] D. Beltiţă and I. Beltiţă: *Modulation Spaces of Symbols for Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **17** (2), 290-319 (2011).

[6] D. Beltită and I. Beltită: *A Survey on Weyl Calculus for Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups*, In: S.T. Ali, P. Kielanowski, A. Odzijewicz, M. Schlichenmeier, Th. Voronov (eds.), XXVIII Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics, AIP Conf. Proc., Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 7–20, (2009).

[7] D. Beltiţă and I. Beltiţă: *Continuity of Magnetic Weyl Calculs*, J. Funct. Analysis, **260** (7), 1944-1968, (2011).

[8] A. Boulkhemair: *Remarks on a Wiener Type Pseudodifferential Algebra and Fourier Integral Operators*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1), 53–67, (1997).

[9] E. Cordero, H. Feichtinger and F. Luef: *Banach Gelfand Triples for Gabor Analysis*, Pseudo-differential Operators, L. N. Math., Springer, **1949**, 1–33, (2008).

[10] J. Dixmier: *Les Algèbres d'Opérateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertien*, 2nd ed. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1969).

[11] M. Dörfler, H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig: *Compactness Criteria in Function Spaces*, Colloq. Math., **94**(1), 37-50, (2002).

[12] M. de Gosson and F. Luef, *On the Usefulness of Modulation Spaces in Deformation Quantization*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** 315205-315221, (2009).

[13] C. M. Edwards and J. T. Lewis: *Twisted Group Algebras I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **13** 119-130, (1969).

[14] H. G. Feichtinger: *On a New Segal Algebra*, Monatsh. Mat. **92** (4) 269–289, (1981).

[15] H. G. Feichtinger: *Modulation Spaces on Locally Compact Abelian Groups*, In *Proceedings of "International Conference on Wavelets and Applications" 2002*, pages 99–140, Chenai, India. Updated version of a technical report, University of Viena, (1983).

[16] H. G. Feichtinger: *Banach Gelfand Triples for Applications in Physics and Engineering*, Amer. Inst. Phys., AIP Conf. Proc., **1146** (1) 189-228, (2009).

[17] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig: *Banch Spaces Associated to Integrable Group Representations and their Atomic Decompositions I*, J. Funct. Anal. **86**, 307–340, (1989).

[18] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig: *Banch Spaces Associated to Integrable Group Representations and their Atomic Decompositions II*, Monatsch. Math. **108**, 129–148, (1989).

[19] H.G. Feichtinger and W. Kozek: *Quantization of TF$-$lattice Invariant Operators on Elementary LCA Groups.* In H. G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, ed. *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms: Theory and Applications*, ch. 7, 233-266. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.

[20] G. B. Folland: *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1989).

[21] M. Fornasier and H. Rauhut: *Continuous Frames, Function Spaces, and the Discretization Problem*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **11** (3), 245–287, (2005).

[22] I. M. Gelfand and N. Ya. Vilenkin: *Generalized functions*, Vol. 4. Applications of Harmonic Analysis. Academic Press, New York, (1964).

[23] K. Gröchenig: *Aspects of Gabor Analysis on Locally Compact Abelian Groups*, In H.G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, editors, Gabor Analysis and Algorithms: Theory and Applications, chapter 6, pages 211-231. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.

[24] K. Gröchenig: *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, (2001).

[25] K. Gröchenig: *Time-Frequency Analysis of Sjöstrand Class*, Revista Mat. Iberoam. **22** (2), 703–724, (2006).

[26] K. Gröchenig: *Composition and Spectral Invariance of Pseudodifferential Operators on Modulation Spaces*, J. Anal. Math. **98**, 65–82, (2006).

[27] K. Gröchenig: *A Pedestrian Approach to Pseudodifferential Operators* in C. Heil editor, *Harmonic Analysis and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2006. In Honour of John J. Benedetto.

[28] K. Gröchenig and C. Heil: *Modulation Spaces and Pseudodifferential Operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **34** (4), 439-457, (1999).

[29] K. Gröchenig and Z. Rzeszotnik: *Banach Algebras of Pseudodifferential Operators and Their Almost Diagonalization*, Ann. Inst. Fourier. **58** (6), 2279-2314, (2008).

[30] K. Gröchenig and T. Sthromer: *Pseudodifferential Operators on Locally Compact Abelian Groups and Sjöstrand's Symbol Class*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **613**, 121–146, (2007).

[31] J. M. Gracia Bondia and J. C. Varilly: *Algebras of Distributions Suited to Phase-Space Quantum Mechanics. I*, J. Math. Phys, **29**, 869–878, (1988).

[32] S. Haran: *Quantizations and Symbolic Calculus over the p-adic Numbers*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **43** (4), 997-1053, (1993).

[33] C. Heil, J. Ramanathan, and P. Topiwala: *Singular Values of Compact Pseudodifferential Operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **150** (2), 426–452, (1997).

[34] A. Holst, J. Toft and P. Wahlberg: *Weyl Product Algebras and Modulation Spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **251**, 463–491, (2007).

[35] V. Iftimie, M. Măntoiu and R. Purice: *Magnetic Pseudodifferential Operators*, Publ. RIMS. **43**, 585–623, (2007).

[36] H. Jarchow: *Locally Convex Spaces*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.

[37] M. V. Karasev and T. A. Osborn: *Symplectic Areas, Quantization and Dynamics in Electromagnetic Fields*, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 756–788, (2002).

[38] M. Măntoiu and R. Purice: *The Magnetic Weyl Calculus*, J. Math. Phys. **45** (4), 1394–1417, (2004).

[39] M. Măntoiu and R. Purice: *The Modulation Mapping for Magnetic Symbols and Operators*, Proc. of the AMS, DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-10-10345-1 (2010).

[40] M. Măntoiu and R. Purice: *Abstract Composition Laws and their Modulation Spaces*, J. Pseudodiff. Op. and Appl. **3** (3), 283–307, (2012).

[41] M. Măntoiu, R. Purice and S. Richard: *Twisted Crossed Products and Magnetic Pseudodifferential Operators*, Proceedings of the Conference of Sinaia (Romania), Theta Foundation 2005.

[42] M. Măntoiu, R. Purice and S. Richard: *Spectral and Propagation Results for Magnetic Scrödinger Operators; a C^*-algebraical Framework*, J. Funct. Anal. **250**, 42–67, (2007).

[43] N. V. Pedersen: *Matrix Coefficients and a Weyl Correspondence for Nilpotent Lie Groups*, Invent. Math. **118**, 1–36, (1994).

[44] H. Rauhut and T. Ullrich: *Generalized Coorbit Space Theory and Inhomogeneous Function Spaces of Besov-Lizorkin-Triebel Type*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (11), 3299-3362, (2011).

[45] P. Ruelle, E. Thiran, D. Verstegen and J. Weyers: *Quantum Mechanics on p-adic Fields*, J. Math. Phys. **30** (12), 2854-2874, (1989).

[46] J. Sjöstrand: *An Algebra of Pseudodifferential Operators*, Math. Res. Lett., **1** (2), 185–192, (1994).

[47] J. Sjöstrand: *Wiener Type Algebras of Pseudodifferential Operators*, In *Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 1994-1995*, Exp. No. IV, 21, École Polytech., Palaiseau, (1995).

[48] K. Tachizawa: *The Boundedness of Pseudodifferential Operators on Modulation Spaces*, Math. Nachr., **168**, 263277, (1994).

[49] J. Toft: *Continuity properties in Non-commutative Convolution Algebras, with Applications in Pseudodifferential Calculus*, Bull. Sci. Math. **126**, 115–142, (2002).

[50] J. Toft: *Continuity Properties for Modulation Spaces, with Applications to Pseudo-differential Calculus. I*, J. Funct. Anal., **207(2)**, 399–426, (2004).

[51] J. Toft: *Continuity Properties for Modulation Spaces, with Applications to Pseudo-differential Calculus. II*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, **26**, 73–106, (2004).

[52] J. Toft and P. Boggiatto: *Schatten Classes for Toeplitz Operators with Hilbert Space Windows on Modulation Spaces*, Advances in Mathematics, **217** (1), 305–333, (2008).

[53] F. Trèves: *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, 1967.

[54] H. Triebel: *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, North-Holand Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1978.

[55] V. S. Vladimirov: *On the Spectrum of Some Pseudodifferential Operators Over the Field of p-adic Numbers* Algebra i Analiz, **2** (6), 107-124, (1990).

[56] N. E. Wegge-Olsen: *K-Theory and C^*-Algebras*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.

[57] M. W. Wong: *Trace-class Weyl Transforms*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **160**, Birkh"auser Basel, 2005.